

AMIS0486

Certified Reference Material

**Platinum (PGM), Merensky
Bushveld Complex, South Africa**

Certificate of Analysis

AMIS

(A Division of Torre Analytical Services (Pty) Limited)
(Reg. No. 1989/000201/07)

A: 11 Avalon Road, West Lake View Ext 11, Modderfontein, South Africa

P: PO Box 856, Isando, 1600, Gauteng, South Africa

T: +27 (0) 11 923-0800

W: www.amis.co.za

Directors: JT Botes, R Naidoo, NN Robinson, M Padayachee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
LIST OF TABLES.....	2
LIST OF APPENDICES	2
SUMMARY STATISTICS.....	3
1. Certified Concentrations and Uncertainties	4
2. Statistical Comparison of Means.....	5
3. Intended Use	5
4. Abbreviations and Symbols.....	6
5. Uncertified Concentration Values.....	8
6. Units	8
7. Analytical and Physical Methods.....	8
8. Origin of Material	8
9. Approximate Mineral and Chemical Composition.....	8
10. Health and Safety	8
11. Method of Preparation	8
12. Handling	9
13. Methods of Analysis Requested.....	9
14. Information Requested of Participating Laboratories.....	9
15. Certification of Mean and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty	9
16. Participating Laboratories	10
17. Accepted Assay Data.....	11
18. Reported Values	14
19. Validation of Accuracy (Trueness)	14
20. Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation in Gravimetric Fire Assay.....	14
21. Metrological Traceability	14
22. Period of Validity	14
23. Minimum Sample Size	14
24. Availability.....	14
25. Recommended use in Quality Control.....	15
26. Legal Notice.....	15
REFERENCES.....	16
APPENDICES	17

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Certified concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.	4
Table 2. Certified major oxides concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.....	4
Table 3. The results of a two sample equal variance t-test (two-tailed) those data sets demonstrating equal variances.	5
Table 4. The results of a two sample unequal variance t-test (two-tailed) for those data sets demonstrating unequal variances.	5
Table 5. Abbreviations, symbols and descriptions.	6
Table 6. Data used to calculate the certified values after removal of outliers.	11
Table 7. Uncertified element concentrations statistics.	17
Table 8. A single-factor ANOVA table showing key elements. Where P is the total number of groups, or laboratories. P-1 is 1 less than number of laboratories, P (n-1) is the number of data values minus number of groups (equals degrees of freedom for each group added together), and P-1 + P(n-1) is 1 less than number of data points. MS is the mean squares of between laboratories and within laboratories. After Ellison <i>et al.</i> , (2009), Table 6.2, page 61.....	21
Table 9. CRM certified value, quoted expanded uncertainty <i>U</i> , the coverage factor for the CRM, $k=2.25$ and mean for $n=9$ replicates and corresponding standard deviation for the replicate data.	23
Table 10. Mass of assay sample and corresponding limit of detection and limit of quantitation for an assay microbalance capability of smallest prill mass of 1 μ g or 0.001mg.	25
Table 11. Recommended reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ in fire assay.....	25
Table 12. T-distribution table for t-critical values (t crit.) for a two-tailed t-test at a 95% level of confidence.	26

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Uncertified Element Statistics.....	17
Appendix 2. Certification of Reference Material and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty.....	18
Appendix 3. Example: Comparison of Mean and Certified Value for Validation of Accuracy	22
Appendix 4. Using the CRM in Quality Control.....	23
Appendix 5. Conversion to Air-dry Basis	24
Appendix 6. Example of Determination of LOD and LOQ in Fire Assay	25
Appendix 7. T-distribution table	26

SUMMARY STATISTICS

Recommended Concentrations and Limits (at two Standard Deviations)

Certified Concentrations

Analyte	Method	⁸ Certified(μ)	¹⁰ Two Standard deviation (2s) ±	Unit
Au	Pb Collection ¹	0.23	0.04	g/t
Pt	Pb Collection ¹	2.38	0.14	g/t
Pd	Pb Collection ¹	1.37	0.10	g/t
Au	NiS ⁶	0.22	0.03	g/t
Pt	NiS ⁶	2.34	0.19	g/t
Pd	NiS ⁶	1.37	0.15	g/t
Rh	NiS ⁶	0.23	0.02	g/t
Ir	NiS ⁶	0.079	0.02	g/t
Ru	NiS ⁶	0.37	0.06	g/t
Co	4A_MICP ²	149	20	ppm
Co	FUS ⁴	161	23	ppm
Cr	XRF ³	7321	274	ppm
Cu	4A_MICP ²	588	34	ppm
Cu	FUS ⁴	584	41	ppm
Cu	XRF ³	565	97	ppm
Ni	4A_MICP ²	2037	204	ppm
Ni	FUS ⁴	2024	120	ppm
S	4A_MICP ²	0.30	0.02	%
S	Comb/LECO ⁷	0.29	0.05	%
SG	SG ⁵	3.34	0.14	Dimensionless

Major Oxides

Certified Concentrations (at two Standard Deviations)

Analyte	Method	⁸ Certified(μ)	¹⁰ Two Standard deviation (2s) ±	Unit
Al ₂ O ₃	XRF ³	5.06	0.054	%
CaO	XRF ³	3.98	0.053	%
Cr ₂ O ₃	XRF ³	1.07	0.041	%
Fe ₂ O ₃	XRF ³	17.17	0.17	%
MgO	XRF ³	25.07	0.32	%
MnO	XRF ³	0.31	0.02	%
Na ₂ O	XRF ³	0.37	0.05	%
SiO ₂	XRF ³	45.82	0.33	%
TiO ₂	XRF ³	0.46	0.02	%

4E= Platinum (NiS) + palladium (NiS) + Gold (NiS)+ Rhodium(NiS)=4.16g/t

1. Certified Concentrations and Uncertainties

AMIS0486 is a new standard material, developed and certified in June, 2017. Table 1 gives the certified concentrations, combined and expanded uncertainty for certified reference material. Table 2 shows the certified major oxides concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.

Table 1. Certified concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.

Analyte	Method	⁸ Certified(μ)	<i>N</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>	% RSD	⁹ Combined uncertainty (u_c)	¹⁰ Two Standard deviation (2s) \pm	¹¹ Expanded uncertainty (U) \pm	Unit
Au	Pb Collection ¹	0.23	7	55	2.45	7.8	0.02	0.04	0.04	g/t
Pt	Pb Collection ¹	2.38	8	63	2.36	3.0	0.07	0.14	0.2	g/t
Pd	Pb Collection ¹	1.37	9	70	2.31	3.6	0.049	0.10	0.1	g/t
Au	NiS ⁶	0.22	5	34	2.78	6.3	0.01	0.03	0.04	g/t
Pt	NiS ⁶	2.34	7	50	2.45	4.1	0.097	0.19	0.2	g/t
Pd	NiS ⁶	1.37	7	50	2.45	5.5	0.076	0.15	0.2	g/t
Rh	NiS ⁶	0.23	7	51	2.45	4.2	0.010	0.02	0.02	g/t
Ir	NiS ⁶	0.079	6	43	2.57	8.4	0.007	0.02	0.02	g/t
Ru	NiS ⁶	0.37	7	52	2.45	7.9	0.030	0.06	0.07	g/t
Co	4A_MICP ²	149	7	56	2.45	6.7	10	20	24	ppm
Co	FUS ⁴	161	5	39	2.78	7.3	12	23	32	ppm
Cr	XRF ³	7321	8	63	2.36	1.9	137	274	324	ppm
Cu	4A_MICP ²	588	8	61	2.36	2.9	17	34	41	ppm
Cu	FUS ⁴	584	6	44	2.57	3.5	21	41	53	ppm
Cu	XRF ³	565	5	34	2.78	8.6	48	97	134	ppm
Ni	4A_MICP ²	2037	9	67	2.31	5.0	102	204	235	ppm
Ni	FUS ⁴	2024	6	46	2.57	3.0	60	120	155	ppm
S	4A_MICP ²	0.30	3	23	4.30	2.7	0.01	0.02	0.03	%
S	Comb/LECO ⁷	0.29	3	24	4.30	8.0	0.02	0.05	0.1	%
SG	SG ⁵	3.34	4	30	3.18	2.1	0.07	0.14	0.2	Dimensionless

Table 2. Certified major oxides concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.

Analyte	Method	⁸ Certified(μ)	<i>N</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>	% RSD	⁹ Combined uncertainty (u_c)	¹⁰ Two Standard deviation (2s) \pm	¹¹ Expanded uncertainty (U) \pm	Unit
Al ₂ O ₃	XRF ³	5.06	6	44	2.57	0.5	0.027	0.054	0.07	%
CaO	XRF ³	3.98	7	54	2.45	0.7	0.027	0.053	0.07	%
Cr ₂ O ₃	XRF ³	1.07	7	56	2.45	1.9	0.021	0.041	0.05	%
Fe ₂ O ₃	XRF ³	17.17	6	48	2.57	0.5	0.087	0.17	0.2	%
MgO	XRF ³	25.07	7	53	2.45	0.6	0.16	0.32	0.4	%
MnO	XRF ³	0.31	6	48	2.57	3.3	0.01	0.02	0.03	%
Na ₂ O	XRF ³	0.37	7	56	2.45	7.2	0.03	0.05	0.1	%
SiO ₂	XRF ³	45.82	6	47	2.57	0.4	0.17	0.33	0.4	%
TiO ₂	XRF ³	0.46	6	48	2.57	1.1	0.01	0.02	0.02	%

- Pb collection is fire assay by lead collection*
- 4A_MICP is a Multi-acid digestion with ICP finish*
- XRF is X-ray Fluorescence*
- FUS-Sodium peroxide/ Lithium tetraborate fusion*
- SG is Specific Gravity*
- NiS-Nickel sulphide collection*
- Comb/LECO is combustion-infra red analysis*
- The certified value μ , is an unweighted grand mean of the means of *N* accepted sets of data from different laboratories and *n* number of test sample replicates. The certified value is traceable to SI units and is reported on a dry basis.*
- The combined uncertainty of the certified value is the within-laboratory reproducibility standard deviation derived from the analysis of variance of results from *N* number of laboratories and *n* number of sample replicates.*
- The two standard deviations (2s) is calculated as for example: $u_c \times 2 = 0.23 \times 2 = 0.46\%$. See section 25, page 15 for recommended use in quality control.*
- Expanded uncertainty (*U*) at a confidence level of 95% is determined by multiplication of the combined uncertainty (u_c) with a coverage factor (*k*) found from *N*-1 degrees of freedom (see Appendix 7 for *t*-distribution table). Example: $U = 2.36 \times 0.23 = 0.54\%$.*

2. Statistical Comparison of Means

Comparison of means for the same element between different analytical methods was first done by comparing the variances between the two data sets; if the variances were found to be equal (F-test, $p\text{-value} > 0.05$), then an equal variance t-test was applied. Should the variances be statistically significant, then an unequal variance t-test was performed on the data. If the t-test gives a $p\text{-value} > 0.05$, then null hypothesis that the means (certified values) are equal is accepted (Table 3 and Table 4).

Table 3. The results of a two sample equal variance t-test (two-tailed) those data sets demonstrating equal variances.

Method	Certified value	Method	Certified value	p-value (t-test)	t-test outcome
Au NiS	0.22 g/t	Au Pb collection	0.23 g/t	0.21	Accept H_0 ; certified values are equal
Pt NiS	2.34 g/t	Pt Pb collection	2.38 g/t	0.19	Accept H_0 ; certified values are equal
Pd NiS	1.37 g/t	Pd Pb collection	1.37 g/t	0.99	Accept H_0 ; certified values are equal
Co 4A_MICP	149 ppm	Co Fus	161 ppm	0.035	Reject H_0 ; certified values are <i>not</i> equal
Cu 4A_MICP	588 ppm	Cu Fus	584 ppm	0.58	Accept H_0 ; certified values are equal

Table 4. The results of a two sample unequal variance t-test (two-tailed) for those data sets demonstrating unequal variances.

Method	Certified value	Method	Certified value	p-value (t-test)	t-test outcome
Ni 4A_MICP	2037 ppm	Ni Fus	2024 ppm	0.74	Accept H_0 ; certified values are equal

3. Intended Use

AMIS0486 is a matrix matched Certified Reference Material, fit for use as a control sample in routine assay laboratory quality control when inserted within runs of test samples and measured in parallel to test samples. This material can also be used for method development, use as independent calibration verification check standard (*i.e.* if not used as a calibration standard in an instrument calibration), or for validation of accuracy in a method validation exercise (see Appendix 3). The recommend procedure for the use of this CRM as a control standard in laboratory quality control is to develop a Shewhart chart, where a mean value and corresponding 1, 2 and 3 standard deviations are derived from replicate measurements of the CRM (see Appendix 4). This CRM can also be used to assess inter-laboratory or instrument bias and establish within-laboratory precision and within-laboratory reproducibility. The certified concentrations and expanded uncertainty for this material are property values based on an inter-laboratory measurement campaign and reflect consensus results from the laboratories that participated in the exercise.

4. Abbreviations and Symbols

Abbreviations and symbols used in this document are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Abbreviations, symbols and descriptions.

Abbreviation/Symbol	Description
Alpha (α)	Significance level (denoted by alpha, ' α ') of 0.05 or 5%
ANOVA	Analysis of variance by statistical means
BIF	Banded iron formation
CRM	Certified reference material
df	Degrees of freedom, typically, $n-1$, or $N-1$
F_{calc}	Calculated F statistic from ANOVA or Fisher's test
F-critical or F_{crit}	F-critical value from F-distribution table
GOI	Gain on ignition
H_0	Null hypothesis
H_1	Alternate hypothesis
g/t	Grams per tonne
k	Coverage factor, e.g. $k=2$ for 95% level of confidence
LOC	Level of confidence or confidence level
LOD	Limit of detection
LOQ	Limit of quantitation
LOI	Loss on ignition
MS	Mean squares (ANOVA)
MSb	Mean squares between(ANOVA)
MSw	Mean squares within (ANOVA)
N	Number of labs
n	Number of replicates
μ	Property or certified value of a CRM

Table 5 Continued.

Abbreviation/Symbol	Description
p	' p -value' a measure of the strength of evidence against H_0
P	Total number of data points in ANOVA
ppm	Parts per million. Equivalent to g/t
RSD	Relative standard deviation usually expressed as % at a 68% LOC
Replicates	Replication is the repetition of an experimental condition so that the variability associated with an analysis can be estimated (ASTM E1847)
s	Standard deviation
s_r	Within laboratory repeatability as derived from ANOVA
s_s	Between laboratory standard deviation as derived from ANOVA
SS	Sum of squares in ANOVA
SST	Total variation in ANOVA
SSB	Between group (laboratory) variance
SSW	Within group (laboratory) variance
2s	Two times standard deviation
SI	Standard International system of units
t_{calc}	Calculated t statistic from a one-sample, two-tailed t-test
t-critical or t_{crit}	t-critical value at given alpha and degrees of freedom
Tonne	A metric ton, is a unit of mass equaling 1000 kilograms
=TINV(5%, df)	MS Excel function for t-critical value at LOC 95% and df
U	Expanded uncertainty at a given k
u	Standard uncertainty at $k=1$
u_c	Combined standard uncertainty at $k=1$
μm	Micron, is an SI derived unit of length equaling 1×10^{-6} of a meter

5. Uncertified Concentration Values

Appendix 1 gives uncertified concentrations for other elements present in the CRM.

6. Units

All results for major oxides are reported as oxides in percentages. All results for major elements analyses reported in percentages or ppm. Results for Au and the platinum group elements are reported in g/t or ppm. Specific gravity (SG) is the ratio of the density of a substance to the density of a reference substance, *i.e.* equivalently; it is the ratio of the mass of a substance to the mass of a reference substance for the same given volume. Since specific gravity is a ratio of densities its units are therefore dimensionless.

7. Analytical and Physical Methods

A complete list of analytical and physical methods as generic method codes with a brief description of the methods is available on the AMIS web site www.amis.co.za

8. Origin of Material

Although the Merensky generally regarded as a uniform reef type, the large variations occur in reef thickness, reef composition, as well as the position of the mineralisation. The rock forming minerals of the Merensky reef comprises approximately equal amounts of dark iron-magnesium silicate minerals and lighter calcium-aluminium-sodium silicate minerals under and overlain by thin often discontinuous layers of chromite concentrations. This zone, commonly known as the Merensky pegmatoid, contains the base metal sulphide grains and associated platinum group minerals. The Merensky reef has been traced for 300km around the entire outcrop of the eastern and western limbs of the Bushveld Complex, and to depths of 5km (Schouwstra, *et.al*, 2000).

9. Approximate Mineral and Chemical Composition

The rock forming silicate minerals of the Merensky reef consist predominantly of orthopyroxene (60%, plagioclase feldspar (20%), pyroxene (15%), phlogopite (5%) and occasional olivine. Secondary minerals such as talc,serpentine,chlorite and magnetite have widespread occurrence. The base metal sulfides consist of pyrrhotite (40%), pentlandite (30%), chalcocopyrite (15%) and trace amounts of millerite (NiS), troilite (FeS), pyrite (FeS₂) and cubanite (Cu₅FeS₄). The major platinum group minerals are cooperite (PtS), braggite [(Pt,pd)NiS], sperrylite (PtAs₂) and PGE alloys, although in some areas minerals such as laurite (RuS₂) can be abundant (Schouwstra, *et.al*, 2000).

10. Health and Safety

The material is a very fine powder coloured Light Grey (5Y 7/1). Safety precautions for handling fine particulate matter are recommended, such as the use of safety glasses, breathing protection, gloves and a laboratory coat.

11. Method of Preparation

The particle size distribution for this material was shown to have a nominal top size of 54µm (95% passing 54µm). The procedure of preparation in brief is as follows: the material was crushed, dry-milled and air-classified to <54µm. It was then blended in a bi-conical mixer, systematically divided and sealed into 1kg Laboratory Packs. Explorer Packs are then subdivided from the Laboratory Packs as required. Final packaged units were then selected on a random basis and submitted for analysis to an

independent laboratory accredited with the ISO17025:2005 standard of general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories. The results obtained from this laboratory are then evaluated statistically by AMIS for homogeneity.

12. Handling

The material is packaged in Laboratory Packs and Explorer Packs that must be shaken or otherwise agitated before use. The analyte concentrations are quoted on a dry basis, therefore the user needs to determine the moisture content in order to convert any obtained assay values to an air-dry basis (see Appendix 5 for an example calculation).

13. Methods of Analysis Requested

1. Pt, Pd, Au, Rh, Ru, Ir – Nickel Sulphide with ICP-OES or ICP-MS or AAS finish
2. Pt, Pd and Au-Pb collection with Ag as a co-collector, ICP-OES or ICP-MS or AAS finish
3. Pt, Pd, Au, Rh, Ru, Ir - Silver Prill with ICP-OES or ICP-MS or AAS finish
4. Base metals by Peroxide Fusion (Cu, Co, Ni and Cr) by ICP-OES or ICP-MS or AAS finish
5. Multi element scan to include Cu, Co, Ni and Cr-4-acid total digestion, including HF, ICP-OES or ICP-MS or AAS finish
6. Majors (Al_2O_3 , CaO, Cr_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 , K_2O , MgO, MnO, Na_2O , SiO_2 , TiO_2 , P_2O_5 , U_3O_8 and LOI) XRF finish or fusion/4 acid digest with ICP/AAS finish
7. SG – gas pycnometer
8. S Combustion/LECO

14. Information Requested of Participating Laboratories

The following information was requested of the participating laboratories for the development of this CRM:

1. State aliquots used for all determinations.
2. All results for major elements to be reported as oxides in percentages.
3. All results for multi-element scans and fusion to be reported in ppm.
4. All results for Au, Pt, Pd, Rh, Ru and Ir to be reported in ppm.
5. Report all QC data, to include replicates, blanks and certified reference materials used.
6. State and provide brief description of analytical techniques used.
7. Send a PDF and excel of the results. (Excel template format was sent to the labs. If you have not received it, please email Melesha and she will send it through)

15. Certification of Mean and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty

The samples used in this certification process have been selected in such a way as to represent the entire batch of material and were taken from the final packaged units; therefore all possible sources of uncertainty are included in the combined standard uncertainty determination. Initially the data submitted by all of the laboratories are subjected to a z-score test, equation [1] to exclude outliers and the remaining data sets examined for their normality in distribution. This is followed by the exclusion of further outliers as defined by the IUPAC Harmonised Protocol of 1995 in which both Cochran and Grubbs tests are applied until all outliers are identified, equations [2], [3], [4] and [5]. A grand mean and standard deviation is re-calculated using all remaining data (Thompson, 2008; Carr, 2011) (see Appendix 2). These data are then subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) as per equations, [10],[11],[12],[13] and [14] in Appendix 2. The mean squares for data within and between laboratories derived from ANOVA are used to compute the within-laboratory reproducibility, or combined standard uncertainty as shown in Appendix 2, equation [15], [16] and [17]. The Horwitz function is applied to assess the performance of the data under consideration with respect to precision as relative standard

deviation (equations [6], [7] and [8]). Should the ratio of the observed %RSD and a calculated %RSD be <2, the observed %RSD is accepted (Horwitz & Albert, 2006). A final certified value is then found by calculating a grand mean of equally weighted individual laboratory means [9] (ISO Guide 35, 2003; Barwick & Pritchard, 2011).

An uncertainty statement on AMIS certificates is typically presented as:

The expanded uncertainty (U) is determined by multiplication of the combined uncertainty (u_c) with a coverage factor (k) found from $N-1$ degrees of freedom and a t-critical value at a level of confidence of 95% (EURACHEM / CITAC Guide CG 4., (2012), (see Table 12, Appendix 7 for a t-critical table). N is the number of laboratory means used in the establishment of the certified value. Since the estimated values of the CRM approximate a normal distribution with combined uncertainty, u_c , the certified value of the CRM is understood to lie in the interval defined by U with a level of confidence of 95 % (Thompson & Lowthian, 2011).

Appendix 2 gives detail on the principles used for certification of the reported assay values and estimation of measurement uncertainty.

16. Participating Laboratories

Twenty one laboratories were each given eight randomly selected packages of the CRM. Eighteen laboratories of the twenty one laboratories submitted results in time for certification, with the data for two of these laboratories not being included in the certification.

The 18 laboratories that provided results timeously are:

1. Anglo Platinum - Eastern Bushveld Regional Laboratory
2. Anglo Platinum - Mogalakwena Analytical Laboratory
3. Anglo American Technical Solutions
4. ALS (Brisbane) - Analytical Laboratory Services - Brisbane, QLD
5. ALS OMAC (Ireland)
6. ALS Chemex Laboratory Group Lima (Peru)
7. ALS Chemex Laboratory Group Johannesburg SA
8. ALS (Vancouver) - Analytical Laboratory Services - Vancouver, Canada
9. Bureau Veritas Ultratrace Australia
10. Genalysis Laboratory Services Perth
11. Mimosa Mine Lab Zimbabwe
12. Set Point Laboratories (Isando) SA
13. Set Point Laboratories (Mokopane) SA
14. SGS Mineral Services Lakefield (Canada)
15. SGS Rustenburg
16. Shiva Analyticals India (Pty) Ltd
17. Zimbabwe Platinum mine-Ngezi laboratory
18. Zimbabwe Platinum mine-SMC laboratory

17. Accepted Assay Data

Data from the 18 laboratories used for certification are set out in Table 6.

Table 6. Data used to calculate the certified values after removal of outliers.

Pb Coll Au g/t	Pb Coll Pt g/t	Pb Coll Pt g/t	Pb Coll Pd g/t	Pb Coll Pd g/t	NiS Au g/t	NiS Pt g/t	NiS Pd g/t	NiS Rh g/t	NiS Ir g/t	NiS Ru g/t	SG Dimensionless
0.25	2.28	2.35	1.33	1.34	0.20	2.25	1.49	0.22	0.08	0.35	3.30
0.24	2.23	2.46	1.32	1.32	0.20	2.44	1.52	0.23	0.09	0.36	3.29
0.24	2.45	2.30	1.38	1.37	0.20	2.25	1.53	0.22	0.09	0.36	3.28
0.23	2.16	2.42	1.34	1.36	0.20	2.29	1.49	0.23	0.09	0.35	3.30
0.25	2.24	2.37	1.34	1.33	0.20	2.39	1.50	0.22	0.08	0.35	3.29
0.25	2.45	2.34	1.38	1.29	0.20	2.34	1.52	0.23	0.09	0.35	3.29
0.24	2.49	2.36	1.40	1.32	0.23	2.04	1.50	0.23	0.09	0.36	3.31
0.25	2.51	2.32	1.45	1.35	0.23	2.35	1.40	0.22	0.08	0.36	3.30
0.25	2.52	2.26	1.44	1.28	0.23	2.36	1.45	0.25	0.09	0.41	3.33
0.25	2.47	2.39	1.40	1.36	0.23	2.42	1.35	0.23	0.09	0.41	3.33
0.25	2.37	2.30	1.47	1.34	0.23	2.41	1.39	0.24	0.08	0.40	3.33
0.25	2.38	2.34	1.43	1.33	0.22	2.41	1.44	0.23	0.09	0.39	3.33
0.25	2.47		1.44	1.34	0.23	2.27	1.44	0.25	0.09	0.38	3.33
0.25	2.32		1.40	1.32	0.21	2.29	1.40	0.23	0.09	0.41	3.34
0.24	2.39		1.35	1.33	0.23	2.47	1.40	0.23	0.08	0.40	3.35
0.23	2.39		1.46	1.35	0.23	2.25	1.31	0.23	0.07	0.36	3.34
0.22	2.30		1.50	1.33	0.23	2.29	1.34	0.23	0.07	0.36	3.47
0.22	2.45		1.43	1.34	0.24	2.42	1.36	0.24	0.08	0.37	3.45
0.23	2.41		1.43		0.22	2.43	1.30	0.23	0.07	0.37	3.48
0.24	2.39		1.42		0.23	2.24	1.31	0.23	0.08	0.37	3.44
0.22	2.40		1.41		0.23	2.29	1.29	0.22	0.07	0.36	3.47
0.23	2.45		1.40		0.22	2.32	1.35	0.24	0.08	0.36	3.41
0.22	2.38		1.40		0.21	2.42	1.31	0.22	0.07	0.36	3.27
0.20	2.39		1.40		0.20	2.29	1.28	0.23	0.08	0.35	3.30
0.23	2.39		1.41		0.21	2.27	1.29	0.22	0.08	0.36	3.26
0.21	2.34		1.38		0.21	2.30	1.34	0.23	0.08	0.35	3.28
0.20	2.34		1.44		0.21	2.26	1.31	0.21	0.08	0.38	3.31
0.21	2.38		1.41		0.20	2.18	1.23	0.23	0.08	0.33	3.30
0.21	2.34		1.42		0.22	2.27	1.31	0.22	0.08	0.38	3.31
0.20	2.40		1.43		0.21	2.06	1.23	0.23	0.08	0.35	3.32
0.21	2.40		1.39		0.23	2.35	1.31	0.21	0.08	0.31	
0.23	2.36		1.32		0.22	2.40	1.33	0.23	0.08	0.34	
0.25	2.42		1.33		0.23	2.29	1.33	0.24	0.08	0.35	
0.26	2.40		1.39		0.22	2.30	1.34	0.23	0.08	0.35	
0.24	2.40		1.39			2.38	1.34	0.24	0.08	0.34	
0.23	2.42		1.40			2.32	1.32	0.23	0.08	0.35	
0.25	2.49		1.40			2.25	1.34	0.23	0.08	0.35	
0.23	2.50		1.39			2.34	1.32	0.23	0.08	0.34	
0.24	2.41		1.33			2.41	1.37	0.24	0.08	0.34	
0.21	2.38		1.32			2.41	1.34	0.24	0.08	0.34	
0.21	2.36		1.34			2.43	1.38	0.23	0.08	0.40	
0.20	2.39		1.34			2.47	1.40	0.24	0.08	0.39	
0.20	2.27		1.31			2.41	1.35	0.25	0.08	0.40	
0.21	2.37		1.33			2.46	1.39	0.23		0.41	
0.21	2.35		1.34			2.49	1.39	0.24		0.41	
0.20	2.35		1.32			2.41	1.37	0.24		0.41	
0.22	2.31		1.29			2.39	1.38	0.24		0.41	
0.23	2.36		1.38			2.34	1.37	0.25		0.40	
0.23	2.38		1.39			2.37	1.37	0.24		0.42	
0.23	2.35		1.34			2.43	1.39	0.25		0.41	
0.23	2.33		1.35					0.25		0.41	
0.22			1.35							0.41	
0.23											
0.24											
0.23											

Assay Data (Cont)

4A_MICP Co ppm	FUS Co ppm	4A_MICP Cu ppm	FUS Cu ppm	XRF Cu ppm	XRF Cr ppm	4A_MICP Ni ppm	FUS Ni ppm	XRF Ni ppm	4A_MICP S ppm	Comb/LECO S ppm
143	150	581	552	581	7389	2116	1930	2116	0.29	0.29
146	143	594	570	594	7389	2168	1970	2168	0.30	0.28
144	155	579	588	579	7321	2130	1980	2130	0.29	0.28
144	152	568	569	568	7321	2109	1940	2109	0.28	0.28
142	156	566	567	566	7321	2105	1980	2105	0.29	0.28
143	144	583	563	583	7321	2139	1920	2139	0.30	0.28
140	158	597	548	690	7253	2180	1970	2040	0.29	0.29
144	143	593	576	590	7253	2196	2040	1800	0.30	0.28
151	155	593	594	500	7417	2202	2085	1680	0.30	0.31
149	160	596	543	470	7396	2218	1924	1650	0.30	0.31
149	150	591	588	510	7376	2178	2123	1710	0.31	0.32
151	168	594	594	480	7403	2223	2090	1660	0.30	0.31
147	161	596	581	580	7376	2213	2059	1890	0.30	0.31
149	164	598	587	490	7383	2195	2008	1670	0.31	0.32
150	153	580	540	520	7396	2090	2053	1690	0.30	0.32
149	162	585	602	510	7383	2100	1912	1690	0.30	0.32
144	160	573	553	540	7307	2040	2029	1710	0.31	0.27
143	160	584	574	510	7376	2050	2030	1670	0.30	0.28
142	140	583	582	540	7396	2070	1997	1710	0.30	0.27
142	160	592	542	510	7506	2100	2034	1690	0.31	0.27
143	160	587	604	520	7410	2060	2015	1700	0.30	0.28
140	160	592	596	520	7362	2100	2040	1690	0.30	0.27
141	160	606	545	600	7471	1928	2007	2000	0.31	0.26
144	140	617	600	600	7389	1947	2023	2100		0.27
152	160	610	600	600	7389	1941	2100	2000		
156	160	616	600	600	7389	1910	2000	2000		
157	160	592	600	580	7389	1944	2100	2000		
155	160	592	600	590	7389	1954	2050	2000		
147	160	619	600	580	7389	1976	2100	2000		
147	160	623	600	600	7389	1963	2050	2000		
157	160	570	580	580	7458	2030	2100	2070		
157	160	581	590	590	7116	2050	2100	2080		
146	178	579	600	590	7253	2057	2050	2060		
147	179	580	630	590	7116	2070	1910	2080		
147	180.5	565	590		7116	1980	2090	2100		
150	179	578	580		7184	1980	2090	2100		
147	176.5	580	580		7253	2099	2070	2090		
145	179.5	574	591		7116	2075	1970	2090		
146	176.5	560	588		7116	1849	2140			
147		570	589		7526	1853	2009			
145		570	593		7526	1857	1995			
145		575	588		7526	1866	1996			
145		570	604		7458	1881	2006			
150		560	589		7526	1839	2006			
150		570			7526	2010	2022			
140		565			7526	2030	2007			
140		580			7389	2060				
145		640			7116	2040				
160		590			7116	1990				
160		600			7116	2000				
160		630			7116	1990				
160		590			7116	2000				
160		580			7184	2050				
160		580			7116	1910				
160		591			7116	2090				
160		588			7184	2090				
		589			7253	2150				
		593			7253	2070				
		588			7253	1970				
		604			7321	2140				
		589			7321	2009				
					7321	1995				
					7253	1996				
						2006				
						2006				
						2022				
						2007				

Assay Data (Cont)

XRF Al2O3 %	XRF CaO %	XRF Cr2O3 %	XRF Fe2O3 %	XRF MgO %	XRF MnO %	XRF Na2O %	XRF SiO2 %	XRF TiO2 %
5.06	4.00	1.08	17.30	25.01	0.30	0.36	45.75	0.46
5.07	3.99	1.08	17.29	24.99	0.30	0.36	45.74	0.46
5.06	3.99	1.07	17.32	24.99	0.30	0.36	45.77	0.46
5.06	3.99	1.07	17.29	24.95	0.30	0.36	45.72	0.46
5.06	3.99	1.07	17.29	24.97	0.30	0.36	45.64	0.46
5.07	4.00	1.07	17.30	24.99	0.30	0.35	45.78	0.46
5.05	3.99	1.06	17.29	24.97	0.30	0.36	45.67	0.47
5.06	4.00	1.06	17.32	25.03	0.30	0.36	45.77	0.47
5.07	4.03	1.08	17.15	25.18	0.31	0.37	45.86	0.47
5.06	4.02	1.08	17.11	25.16	0.31	0.37	45.84	0.46
5.07	4.01	1.08	17.08	25.14	0.31	0.36	45.88	0.47
5.09	4.03	1.08	17.15	25.14	0.31	0.36	45.86	0.47
5.06	4.01	1.08	17.06	25.08	0.31	0.36	45.83	0.46
5.07	4.01	1.08	17.05	25.08	0.31	0.36	45.81	0.47
5.08	4.02	1.08	17.11	25.14	0.31	0.37	45.87	0.47
5.09	4.03	1.08	17.13	25.16	0.31	0.36	45.87	0.47
5.12	4.00	1.08	17.18	24.90	0.30	0.35	45.93	0.46
5.11	3.99	1.08	17.19	24.90	0.31	0.36	45.82	0.46
5.12	3.99	1.08	17.19	24.90	0.31	0.36	45.91	0.46
5.09	4.01	1.08	17.21	24.90	0.30	0.36	45.98	0.46
5.04	4.00	1.08	17.24	24.90	0.31	0.35	46.14	0.46
5.04	4.01	1.08	17.26	25.00	0.31	0.36	46.08	0.46
5.05	4.01	1.08	17.22	24.90	0.30	0.36	45.96	0.46
5.02	3.98	1.09	17.12	24.90	0.30	0.35	45.73	0.46
5.04	3.95	1.04	17.14	25.00	0.31	0.41	45.58	0.46
5.06	3.96	1.06	17.16	25.10	0.31	0.41	45.61	0.46
5.02	3.96	1.04	17.16	25.10	0.31	0.41	45.70	0.46
5.04	3.93	1.04	17.04	25.00	0.31	0.41	45.48	0.46
5.04	3.95	1.05	17.10	25.10	0.31	0.41	45.57	0.46
5.04	3.96	1.06	17.24	25.20	0.31	0.41	45.67	0.46
5.02	3.93	1.04	17.06	25.00	0.31	0.41	45.48	0.46
5.05	4.00	1.04	17.09	25.10	0.31	0.41	45.94	0.46
5.04	3.99	1.10	17.10	24.90	0.31	0.37	45.94	0.47
5.05	3.97	1.10	17.13	24.90	0.31	0.36	45.80	0.47
5.04	3.97	1.10	17.16	24.90	0.31	0.36	45.69	0.47
5.00	3.98	1.09	17.11	24.80	0.31	0.36	45.87	0.47
5.03	3.99	1.10	17.23	24.90	0.31	0.37	45.93	0.47
5.03	3.97	1.10	17.21	24.90	0.31	0.36	45.83	0.47
5.06	3.95	1.10	17.14	24.90	0.31	0.37	45.68	0.47
5.06	3.95	1.08	17.07	24.70	0.31	0.37	45.77	0.46
5.05	3.94	1.04	17.05	25.20	0.31	0.40	45.73	0.46
5.07	3.99	1.04	17.04	25.10	0.31	0.39	46.07	0.46
5.03	3.99	1.04	17.20	25.20	0.31	0.39	46.02	0.46
5.05	3.98	1.04	17.15	25.20	0.30	0.39	46.14	0.46
	4.00	1.04	17.10	25.10	0.31	0.39	46.06	0.47
	3.97	1.05	17.20	25.20	0.31	0.40	45.91	0.47
	4.00	1.04	17.07	25.10	0.31	0.39	45.98	0.47
	3.97	1.04	17.16	25.20	0.30	0.39		0.46
	3.97	1.05		25.40		0.33		
	3.96	1.06		25.40		0.33		
	3.96	1.06		25.20		0.33		
	4.00	1.06		25.40		0.32		
	4.01	1.07		25.30		0.33		
	3.94	1.07				0.34		
		1.07				0.34		
		1.06				0.32		

18. Reported Values

The certified values listed in this certificate fulfil the AMIS statistical criteria (see section 15) regarding agreement for certification and have been independently validated by Allan Fraser.

19. Validation of Accuracy (Trueness)

This CRM can be used to validate accuracy (trueness) as required in method validation as stated in the ISO17025:2005 standard, clause 5.4. See Appendix 3 for an example on the validation of accuracy using replicate data derived from the analysis of a CRM.

20. Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation in Gravimetric Fire Assay

In the determination of limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) in gravimetric fire assay (*i.e.* lead collection and weighing of resulting gold prill), the minimum mass that an assay microbalance is capable of weighing and the original test sample mass determines the LOD and the LOQ in the assay (Fraser, 2015), (see Appendix 6 for an example of the calculation LOD and LOQ and Table 11 for a recommend reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ values).

21. Metrological Traceability

The values quoted herein are based on the consensus values derived from statistical analysis of the data from an inter-laboratory measurement program. Traceability to SI units is via the standards used by the individual laboratories the majority of which are accredited to the ISO17025:2005 general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories and who have maintained measurement traceability during the analytical process.

22. Period of Validity

The certified values are valid for this product, while still sealed in its original packaging, until notification to the contrary. The stability of the material will be subject to continuous testing for the duration of the inventory. Should product stability become an issue, all customers will be notified and notification to that effect will be placed on the www.amis.co.za website.

23. Minimum Sample Size

The majority of laboratories reporting used a 0.5g sample size for the ICP-OES and a 30g sample size for the fire assay. These are the recommended minimum sample sizes for the use of this material.

24. Availability

This product is available in Laboratory Packs containing 1kg of material and Explorer Packs containing custom weights (from 50 to 250g) of material. The Laboratory Packs are sealed bottles delivered in sealed foil pouches. The Explorer Packs contain material in standard geochem envelopes, nitrogen flushed and vacuum sealed in foil pouches.

25. Recommended use in Quality Control


Users should set their own limits *i.e.* 1, 2 and 3 standard deviations from an obtained mean value based on at least 10 replicate analyses using this CRM (see Appendix 4 for detail on the use of this CRM in quality control).

26. Legal Notice

This certificate and the reference material described in it have been prepared with due care and attention. However AMIS, a division of Torre Analytical Services (Pty) Ltd, Thivhafuni Matodzi, and Allan Fraser; accept no liability for any decisions or actions taken following the use of the reference material.

22 June 2017

Certifying Officers:



African Mineral Standards: _____

Thivhafuni Matodzi



Geochemist: _____

Allan Fraser
M.Sc. (Geology), N.D. (Analytical Chem.), Pr.Sci.Nat.

REFERENCES

- Abzalov, M. (2011). Sampling Errors and Control of Assay Data Quality in Exploration and Mining Geology, Applications and Experiences of Quality Control. Ivanov, O., (Ed.), InTech, DOI: 10.5772/14965. Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/applications-and-experiences-of-quality-control/sampling-errors-and-control-of-assay-data-quality-in-exploration-and-mining-geology>. Accessed 25th September, 2016.
- ASTM E122-09e1, Standard Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2011, www.astm.org
- Barwick V.J., Pritchard E. (Eds). (2011). Eurachem Guide; Terminology in Analytical Measurement – Introduction to VIM 3 (2011). ISBN 978-0-948926-29-7.
- Carr R.H. (2011). Estimating errors using graphs and taking good data. California State University Los Angeles. March 2011. 14. <http://web.calstatela.edu/faculty/kaniol/Err-Gph-Meas-IBooklet.pdf>. Retrieved: 29 August, 2016.
- Cochran, W.G. (1950). The Comparison of Percentages in Matched Samples. *Biometrika*, 37, 256-66.
- Ellison, S., Barwick, V., Duguid Farrant, T. (2009). *Practical statistics for the analytical scientist, a bench guide. 2nd Edition*. RSC Publishing. 25-172.
- ERM Application Note 1. (2005). Comparison of a measurement value with a certified value. European Commission – Joint Research Centre Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM). 1-2.
- EURACHEM / CITAC Guide CG 4. (2012). Quantifying uncertainty in analytical measurement. 3rd Edition. Editors: Ellison, S. L. R., Williams, A. 4-121.
- EuroLab Technical Report No.1/2007. (2007). Measurement uncertainty revisited: Alternative approaches to uncertainty evaluation. European Federation of National Associations of Measurement, Testing and Laboratories. 43-46.
- Fraser, A.W. (2015). Minimising uncertainty in measurement and improving limit of detection in gold bearing ores from concentrations predicted by linear regression in atomic absorption spectrometry. M.Sc. thesis (unpublished), University of Johannesburg: 199 pages.
- Grubbs, F.E. (1969). Procedures for detecting outlying observations in samples. *Technometrics*, 11, 1969. 1-21.
- Horwitz, W., Albert, R. (2006). The Horwitz Ratio (HorRat): A useful index of method performance with respect to precision, *Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists International*, 89: 1095-1109.
- ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E). (2005). *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. 2nd Edition: 14-59.
- ISO Guide 35 (2003). Certification of reference materials — General and Statistical principles, 3rd edition. ISO/REMCO WG 1.
- ISO 5725-2:1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results -- Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method.
- Long, J., Winefordner, J. (1983). Limit of detection - a closer look at the IUPAC definition. *Analytical Chemistry* 55: 712A - 724A.
- Miller, J., Miller, J. (2010). *Statistics for analytical chemistry*. 6th Edition. New York: Ellis Horwood. 36-126.
- Nelsen T.C., Wehling P. (2008). Collaborative studies for quantitative chemical analytical methods. AACC International Report. *Cereal Foods World*. September – October 2008, Vol. 53, No. 5. 285-288.
- Schouwstra, RP., Kinloch E.D, Lee, CA. (2000). A short geological review of the buhveld complex-Platinum metals rev, 2000,44(1),33-39,44,(1)
- Skoog, D., West, D. (1982). *Fundamentals of analytical chemistry*. 4th Edition. CBS College Publishing. Holt Saunders International Editions:39-73.
- Thompson, M. (Ed.) (2008). Test for 'sufficient homogeneity' in a reference material. Analytical Methods Committee, AMCTB 17A, ISSN 1757-5958.
- Thompson, M. (Ed.) (2010). Internal quality control in routine analysis. AMC Technical Brief. Analytical Methods Committee. AMCTB No.46. 2010. Issn 1757-5958.
- Thompson, M., Lowthian, P. (2011). *Notes on statistics and data quality for analytical chemists*. Imperial College Press: 15-115.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Uncertified Element Statistics

Uncertified element statistics are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Uncertified element concentrations statistics.

Element	Gen Method	N	Mean	s	RSD %	Unit
Ag	4A_MICP	7	0.29	0.04	13.23	ppm
Al	4A_MICP	32	19552.27	11484.57	58.74	ppm
Al	FUS	16	26537.50	503.16	1.90	ppm
Al ₂ O ₃	FUS	8	5.13	0.05	0.93	%
As	4A_MICP	6	1.00	<0.001	<0.001	ppm
Au	FA_ICPES	8	242.13	5.30	2.19	g/t
B	FUS	5	20.00	<0.001	<0.001	ppm
Ba	4A_MICP	32	36.43	1.94	5.31	ppm
Ba	FUS	8	34.63	1.06	3.06	ppm
BaO	XRF	24	0.01	<0.001	<0.001	%
Be	4A_MICP	24	0.18	0.02	9.01	ppm
Bi	4A_MICP	24	0.56	0.08	14.65	ppm
Ca	4A_MICP	32	21053.73	12369.30	58.75	ppm
Ca	FUS	8	28400.00	430.95	1.52	ppm
CaO	FUS	8	4.34	0.13	2.91	%
Cd	4A_MICP	8	0.10	0.02	19.35	ppm
Ce	4A_MICP	16	4.57	0.33	7.28	ppm
Cl	XRF	7	600.00	<0.001	<0.001	ppm
Co	4A_ICPES	8	152.38	2.56	1.68	ppm
Co	XRF	16	162.50	34.16	21.02	ppm
CoO	XRF	8	0.02	<0.001	<0.001	%
Cr	4A_MICP	47	5636.87	996.14	17.67	ppm
Cr	FUS	45	6788.64	955.22	14.07	ppm
Cr ₂ O ₃	FUS	15	0.93	0.17	18.00	%
Cs	4A_MICP	16	0.75	0.05	6.89	ppm
Cu	2A_MICP	8	586.25	9.16	1.56	ppm
CuO	XRF	8	0.08	<0.001	<0.001	%
Dy	4A_MICP	15	0.86	0.02	2.54	ppm
Er	4A_MICP	16	0.59	0.03	4.30	ppm
Eu	4A_MICP	15	0.25	0.00	1.51	ppm
Fe	4A_MICP	32	90550.08	53123.48	58.67	ppm
Fe	FUS	23	120741.74	1673.04	1.39	ppm
Ga	4A_MICP	24	7.18	0.48	6.63	ppm
Gd	4A_MICP	14	0.80	0.02	2.10	ppm
Ge	4A_MICP	8	1.51	0.08	5.52	ppm
Hf	4A_MICP	24	0.58	0.16	26.79	ppm
Ho	4A_MICP	16	0.19	0.01	6.65	ppm
In	4A_MICP	8	0.04	0.01	26.45	ppm
K	4A_MICP	32	810.22	477.15	58.89	ppm
K	FUS	8	1141.25	92.19	8.08	ppm
K ₂ O	XRF	48	0.12	<0.001	<0.001	%
La	4A_MICP	16	2.16	0.09	4.36	ppm
Li	4A_MICP	24	7.62	0.64	8.44	ppm
LOI	LOI	55	0.20	0.10	47.34	%
Lu	4A_MICP	8	0.10	<0.001	<0.001	ppm
Mg	4A_MICP	32	114521.79	67197.21	58.68	ppm
Mg	FUS	15	154333.33	2410.30	1.56	ppm
MgO	FUS	8	25.01	0.65	2.58	%

Element	Gen Method	N	Mean	s	RSD %	Unit
Mn	4A_MICP	32	1777.66	1044.43	58.75	ppm
Mn	FUS	8	2426.25	59.75	2.46	ppm
Mo	4A_MICP	14	1.01	0.05	4.71	ppm
Na	4A_MICP	32	1981.16	1163.01	58.70	ppm
Nb	4A_MICP	24	0.89	0.08	9.54	ppm
Nd	4A_MICP	16	2.66	0.16	5.91	ppm
Ni	2A_MICP	8	2227.50	77.23	3.47	ppm
Ni	XRF	38	1925.97	187.36	9.73	ppm
NiO	XRF	8	0.26	<0.001	<0.001	%
Os	NiS	8	0.04	0.00	6.02	ppm
P	4A_MICP	23	217.91	21.84	10.02	ppm
P ₂ O ₅	XRF	56	0.05	0.00	7.93	%
Pb	4A_MICP	16	5.50	0.52	9.39	ppm
PbO	XRF	8	0.01	<0.001	<0.001	%
Pr	4A_MICP	16	0.62	0.04	6.97	ppm
Rb	4A_MICP	23	5.16	0.24	4.56	ppm
Rh	Pb Collection	21	0.23	0.01	3.11	g/t
S	FUS	16	0.31	0.02	7.33	%
Sb	4A_MICP	13	0.23	0.05	20.82	ppm
Sc	4A_ICPES	8	22.68	0.36	1.58	ppm
Sc	4A_MICP	23	22.73	0.70	3.10	ppm
Si	FUS	16	21.53	0.39	1.81	%
SiO ₂	FUS	8	45.42	0.76	1.68	%
Sm	4A_MICP	16	0.67	0.03	3.75	ppm
Sn	4A_MICP	8	0.55	0.05	9.72	ppm
SO ₃	XRF	46	0.76	0.04	4.60	%
Sr	4A_MICP	32	73.57	2.00	2.72	ppm
Sr	FUS	8	67.38	2.88	4.27	ppm
SrO	XRF	40	0.01	0.00	35.08	%
Ta	4A_MICP	8	0.13	0.01	8.22	ppm
Tb	4A_MICP	16	0.13	0.01	9.39	ppm
Te	4A_MICP	15	0.41	0.05	11.26	ppm
Th	4A_MICP	23	0.50	0.13	25.00	ppm
Ti	4A_MICP	24	0.19	0.13	72.23	%
Ti	FUS	15	0.28	0.00	1.67	%
Tl	4A_MICP	8	0.04	0.01	14.28	ppm
Tm	4A_MICP	7	0.08	<0.001	<0.001	ppm
U	4A_MICP	23	0.35	0.08	23.63	ppm
V	4A_MICP	32	212.34	9.42	4.44	ppm
V	FUS	8	211.25	10.15	4.81	ppm
V ₂ O ₅	XRF	8	0.04	<0.001	<0.001	%
W	4A_MICP	22	0.57	0.07	12.93	ppm
Y	4A_MICP	31	5.05	0.09	1.85	ppm
Yb	4A_MICP	16	0.63	0.02	3.57	ppm
Zn	4A_MICP	32	113.69	11.19	9.84	ppm
ZnO	XRF	8	0.02	<0.001	<0.001	%
Zr	4A_MICP	23	19.08	3.43	17.96	ppm
ZrO ₂	XRF	8	0.02	<0.001	<0.001	%

Appendix 2. Certification of Reference Material and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty (Prepared by Allan Fraser)

In the establishment of a consensus value for the CRM, outlier tests are carried out followed by performance statistics and the estimation of the measurement uncertainty. In practice, it is highly likely that data generated by multiple laboratories as an inter-laboratory comparison of material for certification, will contain erroneous as well as extreme measurements (outliers). The influence of outliers on summary statistics needs to be minimised by the application of procedures for outlier identification on raw data. The application of z-scoring, Cochran test for suspect repeatability variances, along with Grubbs test as single and paired tests for suspect measurement values allows for the detection of outliers (IUPAC, 1995). Method performance in terms of precision as relative standard deviation is judged by the application of the Horwitz ratio, which gives an indication of whether the observed relative standard deviation at the concentration levels of analyte determined are acceptable (Horwitz & Albert, 2006).

In the absence of an extensive uncertainty budget, measurement uncertainty is estimated from the reproducibility standard deviation from inter-laboratory data and reported as an expanded uncertainty at typically a level of confidence of 95% (Miller & Miller, 2010).

The steps below give detail on the establishment of a consensus value through the elimination of outliers, method performance and estimation of measurement uncertainty using standard uncertainties and the analysis of variance.

Outlier Removal

An initial purge of outliers in inter-laboratory analyses of a candidate reference material is done using z-scoring followed by the exclusion of further outliers as defined by the IUPAC Harmonised Protocol of 1995. Here both Cochran and Grubbs tests are applied until all outliers are identified.

Z-Score

A z-score is calculated using equation [1]:

$$z = \frac{x - x_a}{s_p} \quad [1]$$

Where, x is the result of a submitted sample, x_a is the mean and s_p is the standard deviation of the submitted results from all of the participating laboratories. Z-Scores are interpreted as follows:

$|z| \leq 2$ satisfactory performance
 $2 < |z| \leq 3$ questionable performance
 $|z| > 3$ unsatisfactory performance

(Thompson & Lowthian, 2011)

Data with z-scores exceeding 2 are discarded and are not included for further assessment.

Cochran Test

The test of Cochran (1950) as shown in equation [2] is applied to any suspect repeatability variances:

$$C_{calc} = \frac{s_{max}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^l s_i^2} \quad [2]$$

Where, C_{calc} , s_{max}^2 and $\sum_{i=1}^l s_i^2$, are the calculated values for Cochran's test, data set with the maximum variance and the sum of the variances of all of the participating l laboratory datasets. The C_{calc} value is compared with a critical value, C_{crit} at a level of confidence of 95% and an alpha of 0.05% (see Ellison,

et al., 2009, Appendix A, Table A.3a, page 209 for a table of critical values for the test of Cochran at LOC 95%).

According to ISO 5725-2 (1999), results from a laboratory with a suspect repeatability variance can be excluded if it is shown by the Cochran test to be an outlier. Therefore, if $C_{calc} > C_{crit}$, the laboratory with the maximum variance is removed. The data found to be excluded should not be >2/9, or 22% of the total data.

Grubbs Test

The test of Grubbs (1969) calculates a test statistic, G. In the detection of a single outlier, G_1 is found by using

$$G_{1\text{ calc}} = \frac{|\text{Suspect value} - \bar{x}|}{s} \quad [3]$$

where the sample mean and standard deviation, \bar{x} and s, are calculated with the suspect value included. The $G_{1\text{ calc}}$ statistic is compared to a critical value for N measurements. See Ellison, et al., 2009, Appendix A, Table A.2, page 208 for a table of critical values for the test of Cochran at LOC 95%. If the data set contains two or more suspect values at either the high end of the dataset or at the low end, or at both ends of the data range, the Grubbs test is adapted to detect such outliers by the calculation of $G_{2\text{ calc}}$

$$G_{2\text{ calc}} = \frac{|x_n - x_1|}{s} \quad [4]$$

where, x_n and x_1 are the suspect values that occur at the opposite ends of the dataset.

When there are two suspect values at the at the same end of the dataset two separate standard deviations of all of the data, s^* is the standard deviation of the data with the two suspect values excluded with $G_{3\text{ calc}}$ given by:

$$G_{3\text{ calc}} = \frac{(n-3)s^*}{(n-1)s^2} \quad [5]$$

Method Performance

The Horwitz function is used to assess the performance of the data under consideration, with respect to precision (Horwitz & Albert, 2006). A calculated %RSD is found using the Horwitz expression

$$\%RSD = \pm 2^{(1-0.5\log C)} \quad [6]$$

where, C is the analyte concentration in percent divided by 100 and log is the natural logarithm. The observed %RSD is calculated as

$$\text{Observed \%RSD} = \frac{s}{\text{Mean}} \times 100 \quad [7]$$

where s is the standard deviation of n replicates.

The ratio of the observed %RSD and the calculated %RSD gives the Horwitz ratio (HorRat):

$$\text{HorRat} = \frac{\%RSD\ \text{Observed}}{\%RSD\ \text{Calculated}} \quad [8]$$

A HorRat <2 indicates that the method is of adequate precision. Should the HorRat be >2 the overall data are discarded and the candidate material considered not suitable for certification as the precision is excessive for the concentration of the analyte being determined (Nelsen & Wehling, 2008).

Grand Mean

The grand mean ($\bar{\bar{x}}$) i.e. the certified value of a dataset is the total of all the data values divided by the total sample size (n) :

$$\bar{\bar{x}} = \sum \frac{x}{n} \quad [9]$$

Certified Value

From ANOVA as per the description in section 15, an 'appropriate precision' as shown in [10] is calculated for sufficient homogeneity (Thompson, 2008):

$$s_r \leq 0.3u_c \quad [10]$$

Where, s_r is the within laboratory repeatability, as determined from [16]. Once [10] is satisfied, a grand mean [9] is calculated and this is taken to be the certified value.

Total Variation (SST)

The total variation (not the variance) comprises the sum of the squares of the differences of each mean with the grand mean.

$$SST = \sum (x - \bar{\bar{x}})^2 \quad [11]$$

Between Group Variation (SSB)

The *variation* due to the interaction between the laboratories is denoted SSB or Sum of Squares Between laboratories and given by [12]. If the laboratory means are close to each other (and therefore the Grand Mean) SSB will be a small value. There are P samples involved with one datum value for each sample (the sample mean), so there are P-1 degrees of freedom.

$$SSB = \sum n(\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{x}})^2 \quad [12]$$

The *variance* due to the interaction between the laboratories is denoted MSB for Mean Square Between groups and is the SSB divided by its degrees of freedom.

$$MS = \frac{SSB}{n - 1} \quad [13]$$

Within Group Variation (SSW)

The variation due to differences within individual samples is denoted SSW for Sum of Squares Within laboratories. The degrees of freedom are equal to the sum of the individual degrees of freedom for each sample. Since each sample has degrees of freedom (df) equal to one less than their sample sizes, and there are k samples, the total degrees of freedom is P less than the total sample size: $df = n - P$.

$$SSW = \sum df \cdot s^2 \quad [14]$$

The variance due to the differences within individual samples is denoted MSW for Mean Square Within groups. This is the within group variation divided by its degrees of freedom:

$$MSW = \frac{SSW}{P - n} \quad [15]$$

From equations [9] through [15], the ANOVA table as shown in Table 8 is developed.

Table 8. A single-factor ANOVA table showing key elements. Where P is the total number of groups, or laboratories. P-1 is 1 less than number of laboratories, P (n-1) is the number of data values minus number of groups (equals degrees of freedom for each group added together), and P-1 + P(n-1) is 1 less than number of data points. MS is the mean squares of between laboratories and within laboratories. After Ellison *et al.*, (2009), Table 6.2, page 61.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Sum of Squares	F	p	F _{crit}
Between Laboratories	SSB	P-1	MSB=SSB/df	MSB/MSW	=FDIST(x,df,df)	F-table
Within Laboratories	SSW	P(n-1)	MSW=SSW/df	–	–	–
Total	SSB+SSW	P-1 + P(n-1)	–	–	–	–

Combined Standard Uncertainty

The combined standard uncertainty (u_c) represents the effects of random events such as days, instruments, and analysts on the precision of the analytical procedures of all accepted data of the participating laboratories. Using the output from ANOVA, the combined standard uncertainty (u_c) is determined from the square root of the sum of squares of the variances of the within laboratory repeatability, s_r and the between laboratory precision, s_s :

$$u_c = \sqrt{s_r^2 + s_s^2} \quad [16]$$

Within laboratory repeatability is determined as

$$s_r = \sqrt{MSB} \quad [17]$$

and, the between laboratory precision as

$$s_s = \sqrt{\frac{(MSW - MSB)}{n}} \quad [18]$$

where MSW is the mean squares of the within laboratory variance, MSB is the mean squares for the between laboratories and n in this case, is the number of replicates in a group of the accepted data (Thompson & Lowthian, 2011).

Expanded Uncertainty

The expanded uncertainty (U) at a confidence level of 95% is determined by multiplication of the combined uncertainty (u_c) by a coverage factor (k) found from $N-1$ degrees of freedom (df), where N is the number of laboratory means accepted in the establishment of the certified value. The t-critical value for 5% significance can be found in a t-critical table (see Appendix 7, or from MS Excel as =TINV (5%, df)).

Uncertainty Statement

Typically, an uncertainty statement is presented as follows: $Au = 0.77 \pm 0.04$ g/t, where the number following the symbol \pm is the numerical value of an expanded uncertainty, $U = ku_c$, with U determined from a combined standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor $k = 2$ or, a t-critical value for $N-1$ accepted laboratories. Since it can be assumed that the possible estimated values of the standard are approximately normally distributed with standard uncertainty, u_c , the certified value of the CRM is believed to lie in the interval defined by U with a level of confidence of approximately 95 %, e.g. a mean value of 0.77 ± 0.04 g/t will have intervals of: $0.73 < 0.77 < 0.81$ g/t.

Appendix 3. Example: Comparison of Mean and Certified Value for Validation of Accuracy (Prepared by Allan Fraser)

According to ERM (2005); Eurolab (2007); Abzalov (2011) and Carr (2011), the validation of accuracy for a given mean and certified value requires the inclusion of the measurement uncertainty of the CRM in a t-test for statistical significance. The classical Student's t-test as shown in [19], does not take into account the measurement uncertainty of the CRM. To compensate for this, Eurolab Technical Report No.1/2007 recommends equation [20] for the validation of CRMs with stated measurement uncertainties.

$$t_{calc} = \frac{|\bar{x} - \mu|}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \quad [19]$$

$$t_{calc} = \frac{|\bar{x} - \mu|}{\sqrt{(u_\mu)^2 + \frac{s^2}{n}}} \quad [20]$$

Where, t_{calc} is the calculated t-statistic, \bar{x} the mean of n replicates with a standard deviation of s for a CRM of μ certified value. The standard uncertainty u is the stated expanded uncertainty (U) of the CRM divided by the coverage factor (k) as stated on the certificate of analysis. Note that the $| \quad |$ bars indicate that the absolute value between the mean and the certified value is to be used, *i.e.* ignore the sign.

An example in which [20] is used for validation of accuracy is given below.

Example

A CRM is independently replicated nine times for Al_2O_3 concentration by XRF analysis, *i.e.* 9 individual fused glass beads were prepared. The observed mean and standard deviation of the replicate data are shown with the certified value and expanded uncertainty in Table 9. In validation of accuracy, the hypothesis question is: Is the difference between the observed mean and the certified value statistically significant at a level of confidence of 95%? Alternatively put, is there sufficient evidence to conclude that the data *i.e.* replicates generated, are inaccurate?

The relevant hypotheses are:

Null hypothesis: H_0 : Mean = Certified value of CRM with stated measurement uncertainty. The acceptance of H_0 means that accuracy is demonstrated; *i.e.* insufficient evidence to reject H_0 ;

Alternate hypothesis: H_1 : Mean \neq Certified value of CRM with stated measurement uncertainty. The acceptance of H_1 means that accuracy is not demonstrated, *i.e.* there is sufficient evidence to accept H_1 ;

Table 9. CRM certified value, quoted expanded uncertainty U , the coverage factor for the CRM, $k=2.25$ and mean for $n=9$ replicates and corresponding standard deviation for the replicate data.

CRM Certified Value	Expanded Uncertainty (U)	Coverage Factor (k)	Mean ($n=9$)	n	Standard Deviation (s)
4.62%	0.08%	2.25	4.59	9	0.01015

The standard uncertainty (u) is found by dividing the expanded uncertainty by the coverage factor:

$$u = \frac{0.08}{2.25} = 0.0356 \%$$

Using the observed mean for the replicate data ($n=9$) obtained for the CRM and substituting into [20]:

$$t_{calc} = \frac{|\bar{x} - \mu|}{\sqrt{0.0356^2 + \frac{0.01015^2}{9}}} = \frac{|4.59 - 4.62|}{\sqrt{0.00126 + 0.00001145}} = 0.84$$

Therefore, $t_{calc} = 0.84$ and $t_{crit}(5\%, 8) = 2.31$ (df is 8, therefore, $t_{crit}=2.31$, see Appendix 7, page 26) which is >0.84 . Similarly, the p -value=0.43 which is >0.05 . This is strong evidence in favour of accepting the null hypothesis that there is no significant statistical difference between the certified value and the observed mean. Therefore, under the conditions that the uncertainty associated with the certified value is known the accuracy is validated for the CRM tested. If the null hypothesis is accepted that the mean obtained is not statistically different from the certified value, then the principle of traceability has been conformed to.

.....

Appendix 4. Using the CRM in Quality Control
(Prepared by Allan Fraser)

QC chart control limits should not be determined by the certified value and stated measurement uncertainty of the certified reference material used. These parameters although “certified” will never be known; it is only the corresponding statistical estimates, *i.e.* standard deviation and the mean calculated from replicated results that are known and these should be used in quality control charts. However, should the laboratory chose to use the certified value as the mean then the quoted 2s value for the CRM can be used in the quality control chart.

It is recommended that a Shewhart chart be developed for the use if this CRM is to be used as a control sample in laboratory quality control. A Shewhart chart is a plot of sequential assay results obtained from quality control material such as an AMIS CRM. The warning and control limits are based on the standard deviation obtained from the mean of the replicates of a CRM (Ellison, *et al.*, 2009; Thompson, 2010).The procedure in preparing a Shewhart chart is as follows:

1. Analyse 10 to 15 replicates or more of the AMIS CRM;
2. Apply the Grubbs test for outliers;
3. Determine the mean of the replicates after application of the Grubbs test;
4. Determine the standard deviation, using equation [21], of the replicates;
5. Calculate the standard deviation, s from:

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}} \tag{21}$$

where, x_i is an individual measurement in the data set, \bar{x} is the mean of the data set at $n-1$ degrees of freedom (df) and n is the number of replicates. The sample standard deviation can be found using the MS Excel formula “=stdev.s (number1;)”.

6. Verify accuracy of the mean value using equation [20];
7. Once accuracy is verified, calculate $\pm 2s$ and $\pm 3s$, where s is the standard deviation calculated from [21].
8. Construct the Shewhart control chart around the mean of n replicates;
9. Use $\pm 2s$ as the warning limits;
10. Use $\pm 3s$ as the control limits;
11. It is recommended that if 2 to 3 points are outside warning the limits analyse another sample and if it then within warning limits, continue. If it is outside the warning limits, stop and troubleshoot;
12. It is recommend that if any point is outside control limits, analyse another portion (sample) of the CRM. If it is within control limits, continue. If it is outside control limits, stop and troubleshoot;
13. For reference purposes, the CRM certified value can be plotted on the Shewhart chart alongside the mean value.

On a regular basis the accuracy of the replicates of the CRM should be assessed in terms of the certified value of the CRM using equation [20].

.....

Appendix 5. Conversion to Air-dry Basis
(Prepared by Allan Fraser)

Since AMIS certified analyte values are reported on a dry-basis, the user laboratory is required to dry a portion (accurately weigh out 1.0 grams in duplicate) of the CRM material in air at 105°C in a drying oven to constant mass to determine the moisture content. Use a crucible with a flat inner surface with a surface area not smaller than 10 cm² with the CRM material spread evenly over same; this represents a 0.1 gram spread per cm². In correcting the certified value for moisture content, a moisture correction factor is calculated:

$$\text{Moisture correction factor (MCF)} = \frac{100 - \% \text{Moisture at } 105^{\circ}C}{100} \quad [22]$$

$$\text{Air dry basis concentration} = \text{MCF} \times \text{certified value on a dry basis} \quad [23]$$

Example

The moisture content determined at 105°C on a CRM is 0.500%. The certified analyte concentration for the CRM is 12.62±0.52% (dry basis). Calculating the moisture correction factor using [22] gives:

$$\text{Moisture correction factor} = \frac{100 - 0.500}{100} = 0.995$$

Multiplying the factor of 0.995 by the certified value as stated on the certificate of analysis on a dry basis (as in [23]) gives the analyte concentration on an air-dry basis:

$$0.995 \times 12.62\% = 12.56\%$$

The stated measurement uncertainty also needs to be corrected using [22] and [23], e.g. 0.995 x 0.52 = 0.51(7), rounded to 0.52%. The air-dry basis concentration *i.e.* 12.56±0.52% is to be used as the certified value with its corresponding measurement of uncertainty.

Appendix 6. Example of Determination of LOD and LOQ in Fire Assay
Prepared by Allan Fraser

The limit of detection (LOD) is the minimum detectable quantity of the analyte of interest (Skoog & West, 1985). In order to determine the LOD in fire assay by lead collection, the minimum mass that an assay microbalance is capable of weighing (m in micrograms, and the original test sample mass, $Mass_{assay}$ in grams) determines the LOD. The smallest prill mass most assay microbalances can measure is $1\mu\text{g}$ or 0.001mg . Even with a microscope it may be difficult to locate and pick up a prill weighing ten times that amount (*i.e.* 0.01mg or $10\mu\text{g}$) and weigh it. Assuming that an analyst can weigh a prill of $1\mu\text{g}$ then the LOD becomes $1\mu\text{g}$. However, the concentration factor would be 50 times for a 50 gram assay sample and therefore the LOD in g/t becomes $1\mu\text{g}$ divided by the original mass of the sample in grams taken for fire assay [24]. Therefore, the LOD in fire assay is computed as:

$$LOD = \frac{m (\mu\text{g})}{Mass_{assay} (g)} (\text{g/t}) \quad [24]$$

And, the limit of quantitation (LOQ), is simply the LOD multiplied by 10 (Long & Winefordner, 1983):

$$LOQ = 10 \cdot \frac{m (\mu\text{g})}{Mass_{assay} (g)} (\text{g/t}) \quad [25]$$

Therefore, with a sample mass of 50g taken for fire assay, the limit of detection would be 0.02g/t . *i.e.* $1\mu\text{g} = 1\text{g/t}$, therefore $1\mu\text{g}/50\text{g} = 0.02\text{g/t}$. If no prill was found to be weighed then the LOD result would be $<0.02\text{g/t}$ or “not detected”. Table 11 gives a recommended reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ.

Table 10. Mass of assay sample and corresponding limit of detection and limit of quantitation for an assay microbalance capability of smallest prill mass of $1\mu\text{g}$ or 0.001mg .

Mass Assay Sample (g)	LOD (g/t)	LOQ (g/t)
30	0.03	0.3
50	0.02	0.2
100	0.01	0.1

Table 11. Recommended reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ in fire assay.

Data	Report as
<LOD	Not detected
<LOQ	Detected
≥LOQ	Report assay result

.....

Appendix 7. T-distribution table

Table 12. T-distribution table for t-critical values (t crit.) for a two-tailed t-test at a 95% level of confidence.

df	Two-tailed	df	Two-tailed
1	12.71	23	2.06
2	4.30	24	2.06
3	3.18	25	2.06
4	2.78	26	2.05
5	2.57	27	2.05
6	2.44	28	2.04
7	2.36	29	2.04
8	2.30	30	2.04
9	2.26	35	2.03
10	2.22	40	2.02
11	2.20	45	2.01
12	2.17	50	2.00
13	2.16	55	2.00
14	2.14	60	2.00
15	2.13	70	1.99
16	2.12	80	1.98
17	2.11	90	1.98
18	2.10	100	1.98
19	2.09	120	1.98
20	2.08	Infinity	1.96
21	2.08		
22	2.07		

.....