



AMIS_Documents

Doc: ADOC_074

Originator: Quality
Specialist

Approver:
Managing Director

Revision No: 001

Revision Date: 30.11.2017

Issued By: Quality Specialist

Certificate

AMIS0371

Certified Reference Material

Fe 54.860% Hamersley AU

Certificate of Analysis

AMIS

(A Division of Torre Analytical Services (Pty) Limited)

(Reg. No. 1989/000201/07)

A: 11 Avalon Road, West Lake View Ext 11, Modderfontein, South Africa

P: PO Box 856, Isando, 1600, Gauteng, South Africa

T: +27 (0) 11 923-0800

W: www.amis.co.za



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
LIST OF TABLES	2
LIST OF APPENDICES	2
SUMMARY STATISTICS	3
1. Certified Concentrations and Uncertainties.....	5
2. Statistical Comparison of Means	7
3. Loss on Ignition	7
4. Intended Use	8
5. Abbreviations and Symbols.....	9
6. Uncertified Concentration Values.....	11
7. Units.....	11
8. Analytical and Physical Methods.....	11
9. Origin of Material	11
10. Approximate Mineral and Chemical Composition	11
11. Quantitative Analysis by X-Ray Diffraction.....	11
11.1 Sample preparation for X-Ray Diffraction	12
11.2 XRD Results	12
12. Health and Safety.....	12
13. Method of Preparation.....	12
14. Handling	13
15. Storage information.....	13
16. Methods of Analysis Requested.....	13
17. Information Requested of Participating Laboratories	13
18. Certification of Mean and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty.....	14
19. Participating Laboratories.....	15
20. Accepted Assay Data	16
21. Reported Values.....	19
22. Validation of Accuracy (Trueness)	19
23. Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation in Gravimetric Fire Assay	19
24. Metrological Traceability	19
25. Period of Validity.....	19
26. Minimum Sample Size	19
27. Availability.....	19
28. Recommended use in Quality Control	19
29. Legal Notice	20
REFERENCES.....	21
APPENDICES	22

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Certified concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.	5
Table 2. Certified elements concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.....	5
Table 3. The results of a single factor ANOVA showing the means obtained from <i>N</i> laboratories on three different analytical methods for Fe, As and Mn. Since $p=0.36$ and >0.05 , there is no significant statistical difference between the mean results on Fe, therefore the means are from the same population. See Table 8, page 26 for the meaning of the abbreviations shown in the below ANOVA table.....	7
Table 4. Abbreviations, symbols and descriptions.	9
Table 5. Mineral species identified and quantified.	12
Table 6. Data used to calculate the certified values after removal of outliers.	16
Table 7. Uncertified element concentrations statistics.	22
Table 8. A single-factor ANOVA table showing key elements. Where <i>P</i> is the total number of groups, or laboratories. <i>P</i> -1 is 1 less than number of laboratories, <i>P</i> (<i>n</i> -1) is the number of data values minus number of groups (equals degrees of freedom for each group added together), and <i>P</i> -1 + <i>P</i> (<i>n</i> -1) is 1 less than number of data points. MS is the mean squares of between laboratories and within laboratories. After Ellison <i>et al.</i> , (2009), Table 6.2, page 61.....	26
Table 9. CRM certified value, quoted expanded uncertainty <i>U</i> , the coverage factor for the CRM, $k=2.25$ and mean for $n=9$ replicates and corresponding standard deviation for the replicate data.	27
Table 10. Mass of assay sample and corresponding limit of detection and limit of quantitation for an assay microbalance capability of smallest prill mass of 1 μ g or 0.001mg.	30
Table 11. Recommended reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ in fire assay.....	30
Table 12. T-distribution table for t-critical values (t crit.) for a two-tailed t-test at a 95% level of confidence.....	31

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Uncertified Element Statistics.....	22
Appendix 2. Certification of Reference Material and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty.....	23
Appendix 3. Example: Comparison of Mean and Certified Value for Validation of Accuracy	27
Appendix 4. Using the CRM in Quality Control.....	28
Appendix 5. Conversion to Air-dry Basis	29
Appendix 6. Example of Determination of LOD and LOQ in Fire Assay	29
Appendix 7. T-distribution table	31

SUMMARY STATISTICS

Recommended Concentrations and Limits (at two Standard Deviations)

Certified Concentrations including oxides

Analyte	Method	⁷ Certified (μ)	⁹ Two Standard Deviation (2s) \pm	Unit
LOI	LOI ⁶	4.15	0.25	%
Fe	XRF ¹	54.860	0.381	%
Fe	Titration ⁵	54.891	1.20	%
SG	SG ³	4.00	0.38	Dimensionless
Al	FUS ²	1.67	0.21	%
As	FUS ²	104	32	ppm
Ce	FUS ²	16	5	ppm
Mn	FUS ²	1166	181	ppm
Si	FUS ²	6.18	0.32	%
SiO ₂	FUS ²	13.2	0.57	%
Ti	FUS ²	0.129	0.015	%
Al ₂ O ₃	XRF ¹	3.15	0.11	%
As	XRF ¹	105	23	ppm
Fe ₂ O ₃	XRF ¹	78.592	0.891	%
K ₂ O	XRF ¹	0.040	0.004	%
Mn	XRF ¹	1133	56	ppm
MnO	XRF ¹	0.14	0.01	%
P	XRF ¹	432	25	ppm
P ₂ O ₅	XRF ¹	0.096	0.01	%
S	XRF ¹	0.060	0.005	%
SiO ₂	XRF ¹	13.4	0.26	%
TiO ₂	XRF ¹	0.21	0.01	%
V	XRF ¹	55	17	ppm
V ₂ O ₅	XRF ¹	0.009	0.003	%

Certified Elements Concentrations (at two Standard Deviations)

Analyte	Method	⁷ Certified (μ)	⁹ Two Standard Deviation (2s) \pm	Unit
Ag	4A_MICP ⁴	0.2	0.1	ppm
Al	4A_MICP ⁴	1.63	0.19	%
As	4A_MICP ⁴	98	16	ppm
Ba	4A_MICP ⁴	20	6	ppm
Be	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.4	ppm
Bi	4A_MICP ⁴	0.4	0.08	ppm
Ce	4A_MICP ⁴	14	2	ppm
Cr	4A_MICP ⁴	106	29	ppm
Cs	4A_MICP ⁴	0.1	0.07	ppm
Cu	4A_MICP ⁴	15	5	ppm
Dy	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.3	ppm
Er	4A_MICP ⁴	0.9	0.1	ppm
Eu	4A_MICP ⁴	0.3	0.03	ppm

Analyte	Method	⁷ Certified (μ)	⁹ Two Standard Deviation (2s) ±	Unit
Ga	4A_MICP ⁴	6	1	ppm
Gd	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.2	ppm
Hf	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.2	ppm
Ho	4A_MICP ⁴	0.3	0.06	ppm
In	4A_MICP ⁴	0.04	0.01	ppm
La	4A_MICP ⁴	6	1	ppm
Li	4A_MICP ⁴	2	0.6	ppm
Lu	4A_MICP ⁴	0.2	0.1	ppm
Mn	4A_MICP ⁴	1090	181	ppm
Mo	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.7	ppm
Nb	4A_MICP ⁴	3	0.8	ppm
Nd	4A_MICP ⁴	4	1	ppm
Ni	4A_MICP ⁴	15	5	ppm
P	4A_MICP ⁴	377	66	ppm
Pb	4A_MICP ⁴	30	8	ppm
Pr	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.3	ppm
Rb	4A_MICP ⁴	2	0.4	ppm
Re	4A_MICP ⁴	0.01	0.01	ppm
S	4A_MICP ⁴	0.057	0.01	%
Sb	4A_MICP ⁴	4	1	ppm
Sc	4A_MICP ⁴	4	1	ppm
Se	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.6	ppm
Sm	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.2	ppm
Sr	4A_MICP ⁴	4	1	ppm
Ta	4A_MICP ⁴	0.3	0.1	ppm
Tb	4A_MICP ⁴	0.2	0.03	ppm
Th	4A_MICP ⁴	7	1	ppm
Tl	4A_MICP ⁴	0.02	0.01	ppm
Tm	4A_MICP ⁴	0.1	0.05	ppm
U	4A_MICP ⁴	25	3	ppm
W	4A_MICP ⁴	17	5	ppm
Y	4A_MICP ⁴	8	1	ppm
Yb	4A_MICP ⁴	1	0.2	ppm
Zr	4A_MICP ⁴	43	7	ppm

1. Certified Concentrations and Uncertainties

AMIS0371 is standard material, re certified in February 2018. Table 1 gives the certified concentrations, including oxides, combined and expanded uncertainty for certified reference material. Table 2 shows the certified elements concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.

Table 1. Certified concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.

Analyte	Method	⁷ Certified (μ)	N	n	k	% RSD	⁸ Combined uncertainty (u_c)	⁹ Two Standard Deviation (2s) \pm	¹⁰ Expanded uncertainty (U) \pm	Unit
LOI	LOI ⁶	4.15	17	136	2.12	3.04	0.13	0.25	0.3	%
Fe	XRF ¹	54.860	11	81	2.23	0.35	0.191	0.381	0.4	%
Fe	Titration ⁵	54.891	3	23	4.30	1.10	0.603	1.21	3	%
SG	SG ³	4.00	18	139	2.11	4.70	0.19	0.38	0.4	Dimensionless
Al	FUS ²	1.67	7	54	2.45	6.29	0.105	0.210	0.3	%
As	FUS ²	104	5	40	2.78	15.3	16	32	44	ppm
Ce	FUS ²	16	3	23	4.30	14.9	2	5	10	ppm
Mn	FUS ²	1166	5	40	2.78	7.76	91	181	251	ppm
Si	FUS ²	6.18	4	31	3.18	2.58	0.159	0.32	0.5	%
SiO ₂	FUS ²	13.2	3	23	4.30	2.18	0.287	0.574	1	%
Ti	FUS ²	0.129	6	48	2.57	5.724	0.007	0.015	0.02	%
Al ₂ O ₃	XRF ¹	3.15	16	128	2.13	1.70	0.054	0.11	0.1	%
As	XRF ¹	105	7	56	2.45	11.1	12	23	29	ppm
Fe ₂ O ₃	XRF ¹	78.592	8	59	2.36	0.567	0.445	0.891	1	%
K ₂ O	XRF ¹	0.040	17	130	2.12	4.38	0.002	0.004	0.004	%
Mn	XRF ¹	1133	7	56	2.45	2.48	28	56	69	ppm
MnO	XRF ¹	0.144	11	88	2.23	3.46	0.005	0.01	0.01	%
P	XRF ¹	432	10	80	2.26	2.93	13	25	29	ppm
P ₂ O ₅	XRF ¹	0.096	8	60	2.36	4.55	0.004	0.01	0.01	%
S	XRF ¹	0.060	8	61	2.36	3.89	0.002	0.005	0.01	%
SiO ₂	XRF ¹	13.4	17	136	2.12	0.968	0.13	0.26	0.3	%
TiO ₂	XRF ¹	0.21	17	134	2.12	3.51	0.007	0.01	0.02	%
V	XRF ¹	55	5	40	2.78	15	8	17	23	ppm
V ₂ O ₅	XRF ¹	0.009	11	81	2.23	14.7	0.001	0.003	0.003	%

Table 2. Certified elements concentrations, two standard deviations, combined and expanded uncertainty.

Analyte	Method	⁷ Certified (μ)	N	n	k	% RSD	⁸ Combined uncertainty (u_c)	⁹ Two Standard Deviation (2s) \pm	¹⁰ Expanded uncertainty (U) \pm	Unit
Ag	4A_MICP ⁴	0.2	9	72	2.31	30	0.07	0.1	0.1	ppm
Al	4A_MICP ⁴	1.63	14	112	2.16	5.90	0.096	0.19	0.2	%
As	4A_MICP ⁴	98	14	109	2.16	8.10	8	16	17	ppm
Ba	4A_MICP ⁴	20	14	112	2.16	15.9	3	6	7	ppm
Be	4A_MICP ⁴	1	12	90	2.20	15.7	0.2	0.4	0.5	ppm
Bi	4A_MICP ⁴	0.4	12	94	2.20	9.5	0.04	0.08	0.09	ppm
Ce	4A_MICP ⁴	14	11	88	2.23	8.6	1	2	3	ppm
Cr	4A_MICP ⁴	106	14	112	2.16	13.7	14	29	31	ppm
Cs	4A_MICP ⁴	0.1	9	72	2.31	27.2	0.03	0.07	0.08	ppm
Cu	4A_MICP ⁴	15	14	108	2.16	16.8	3	5	5	ppm
Dy	4A_MICP ⁴	1	6	47	2.57	9.9	0.1	0.3	0.3	ppm
Er	4A_MICP ⁴	0.9	6	44	2.57	6.87	0.06	0.1	0.2	ppm
Eu	4A_MICP ⁴	0.3	6	45	2.57	6.12	0.02	0.03	0.04	ppm
Ga	4A_MICP ⁴	6	12	96	2.20	8.6	0.5	1	1	ppm
Gd	4A_MICP ⁴	1	6	47	2.57	10.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	ppm
Hf	4A_MICP ⁴	1	10	77	2.26	10.7	0.1	0.2	0.3	ppm
Ho	4A_MICP ⁴	0.3	6	48	2.57	10.0	0.03	0.06	0.08	ppm
In	4A_MICP ⁴	0.04	8	61	2.36	13.0	0.006	0.01	0.01	ppm
La	4A_MICP ⁴	6	11	88	2.23	12.6	1	1	2	ppm
Li	4A_MICP ⁴	2	13	99	2.18	14.0	0.3	0.6	0.6	ppm
Lu	4A_MICP ⁴	0.2	3	22	4.30	15.941	0.03	0.1	0.1	ppm
Mn	4A_MICP ⁴	1090	15	120	2.14	8.3	91	181	194	ppm

Analyte	Method	⁷ Certified (μ)	N	n	k	% RSD	⁸ Combined uncertainty (u_c)	⁹ Two Standard Deviation (2s) \pm	¹⁰ Expanded uncertainty (U) \pm	Unit
Mo	4A_MICP ⁴	1	11	85	2.23	27.1	0.4	0.7	0.8	ppm
Nb	4A_MICP ⁴	3	11	88	2.23	13.9	0.4	0.8	0.9	ppm
Nd	4A_MICP ⁴	4	6	47	2.57	11.8	0.5	1	1	ppm
Ni	4A_MICP ⁴	15	16	128	2.13	17.8	3	5	6	ppm
P	4A_MICP ⁴	377	13	104	2.18	8.77	33	66	72	ppm
Pb	4A_MICP ⁴	30	15	120	2.14	13.2	4	8	8	ppm
Pr	4A_MICP ⁴	1	6	47	2.57	12.6	0.2	0.3	0.4	ppm
Rb	4A_MICP ⁴	2	10	80	2.26	11.5	0.2	0.4	0.4	ppm
Re	4A_MICP ⁴	0.01	3	23	4.30	66	0.003	0.01	0.01	ppm
S	4A_MICP ⁴	0.057	13	100	2.18	10.3	0.006	0.01	0.01	%
Sb	4A_MICP ⁴	4	12	96	2.20	8.95	0.3	1	1	ppm
Sc	4A_MICP ⁴	4	12	95	2.20	12.7	0.5	1	1	ppm
Se	4A_MICP ⁴	1	4	30	3.18	30	0.3	0.6	1	ppm
Sm	4A_MICP ⁴	1	6	46	2.57	9.52	0.1	0.2	0.3	ppm
Sr	4A_MICP ⁴	4	13	104	2.18	18.7	0.7	1	1	ppm
Ta	4A_MICP ⁴	0.3	9	71	2.31	21.3	0.06	0.1	0.1	ppm
Tb	4A_MICP ⁴	0.2	7	56	2.45	6.2	0.01	0.03	0.03	ppm
Th	4A_MICP ⁴	7	11	88	2.23	7.86	0.5	1	1	ppm
Tl	4A_MICP ⁴	0.02	6	42	2.57	19.6	0.004	0.01	0.01	ppm
Tm	4A_MICP ⁴	0.1	5	40	2.78	17.1	0.02	0.05	0.06	ppm
U	4A_MICP ⁴	25	12	92	2.20	5.46	1	3	3	ppm
W	4A_MICP ⁴	17	14	109	2.16	13.7	2	5	5	ppm
Y	4A_MICP ⁴	8	13	103	2.18	7.04	0.6	1	1	ppm
Yb	4A_MICP ⁴	1	4	32	3.18	9.07	0.1	0.2	0.3	ppm
Zr	4A_MICP ⁴	43	10	80	2.26	7.77	3	7	8	ppm

1. XRF is X-ray Fluorescence
2. FUS is Fusion by ICP finish
3. SG is Specific Gravity
4. 4A_MICP is a Multi-acid digestion with ICP finish
5. Titration is titrimetry
6. LOI is Loss on Ignition
7. The certified value μ , is an unweighted grand mean of the means of N accepted sets of data from different laboratories and n number of test sample replicates. The certified value is traceable to SI units and is reported on a dry basis.
8. The combined uncertainty of the certified value is the within-laboratory reproducibility standard deviation derived from the analysis of variance of results from N number of laboratories and n number of sample replicates.
9. The two standard deviations (2s) is calculated as for example: $u_c \times 2 = 0.23 \times 2 = 0.46\%$. See section 28, page 19, for recommended use in quality control.
10. Expanded uncertainty (U) at a confidence level of 95% is determined by multiplication of the combined uncertainty (u_c) with a coverage factor (k) found from N-1 degrees of freedom (see Appendix 7, page 31 for t-distribution table). Example: $U = 2.36 \times 0.23 = 0.54\%$.

2. Statistical Comparison of Means

Iron (Fe) was determined using three different analytical methods. Each method gives a different mean value for Fe. In order to establish if the three mean values are statistically equal, an ANOVA was conducted. The F-ANOVA gave a p -value of 0.066 and is >0.05 , which suggests that at all of the mean values are equal and belong to the same population (Table 3). The same conclusion is made with As and Mn (p -value are >0.05).

Table 3. The results of a single factor ANOVA showing the means obtained from N laboratories on three different analytical methods for Fe, As and Mn. Since the p -values for each element are all >0.05 , there is no significant statistical difference between the mean values. Therefore, the means are from the same population. See Table 8, page 26 for the meaning of the abbreviations shown in the below ANOVA table.

Methods	N	Mean %Fe	s			
Fe XRF	11	54.860	0.120			
Fe Fus	8	53.630	1.80			
Fe Titration	3	54.891	0.560			
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p	F crit
Between Methods	7.78	2	3.89	3.15	0.066	3.52
Within Methods	23.5	19	1.24			
Methods	N	Mean As (ppm)	s			
As Fus	5	104	13			
As XRF	7	105	11			
As 4A_MICP	14	98	8			
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p	F crit
Between Methods	316	2	158	1.57	0.23	3.52
Within Methods	2313	23	101			
Methods	N	Mean Mn (ppm)	s			
Mn Fus	5	1166	86			
Mn XRF	7	1133	26			
Mn 4A_MICP	15	1090	89			
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p	F crit
Between Methods	24669	2	12335	2.05	0.15	3.52
Within Methods	144469	24	6020			

3. Loss on Ignition

Loss on ignition was determined to constant mass at temperatures of 105, 371, 650, 850 and 1000°C. From the data shown Figure 1 it is apparent that the loss on ignition reaches a constant value from about 650°C.

Temp °C	%LOI
105	1.0
371	8.8
650	10.4
850	11.5
1000	10.8




Figure 1. Loss on ignition profile at different temperatures.

4. Intended Use

AMIS0371 is a matrix matched Certified Reference Material, fit for use as a control sample in routine assay laboratory quality control when inserted within runs of test samples and measured in parallel to test samples. This material can also be used for method development, use as independent calibration verification check standard (*i.e.* if not used as a calibration standard in an instrument calibration), or for validation of accuracy in a method validation exercise (see Appendix 3). The recommend procedure for the use of this CRM as a control standard in laboratory quality control is to develop a Shewhart chart, where a mean value and corresponding 1, 2 and 3 standard deviations are derived from replicate measurements of the CRM (see Appendix 4). This CRM can also be used to assess inter-laboratory or instrument bias and establish within-laboratory precision and within-laboratory reproducibility. The certified concentrations and expanded uncertainty for this material are property values based on an inter-laboratory measurement campaign and reflect consensus results from the laboratories that participated in the exercise.

5. Abbreviations and Symbols

Abbreviations and symbols used in this document are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Abbreviations, symbols and descriptions.

Abbreviation/Symbol	Description
Alpha (α)	Significance level (denoted by alpha, ' α ') of 0.05 or 5%
ANOVA	Analysis of variance by statistical means
BIF	Banded iron formation
CRM	Certified reference material
df	Degrees of freedom, typically, $n-1$, or $N-1$
F_{calc}	Calculated F statistic from ANOVA or Fisher's test
F-critical or F_{crit}	F-critical value from F-distribution table
GOI	Gain on ignition
H_0	Null hypothesis
H_1	Alternate hypothesis
g/t	Grams per tonne
k	Coverage factor, e.g. $k=2$ for 95% level of confidence
LOC	Level of confidence or confidence level
LOD	Limit of detection
LOQ	Limit of quantitation
LOI	Loss on ignition
MS	Mean squares (ANOVA)
MSb	Mean squares between(ANOVA)
MSw	Mean squares within (ANOVA)
N	Number of labs
n	Number of replicates
μ	Property or certified value of a CRM

Table 4 Continued.

Abbreviation/Symbol	Description
p	' p -value' a measure of the strength of evidence against H_0
P	Total number of data points in ANOVA
ppm	Parts per million. Equivalent to g/t
RSD	Relative standard deviation usually expressed as % at a 68% LOC
Replicates	Replication is the repetition of an experimental condition so that the variability associated with an analysis can be estimated (ASTM E1847)
s	Standard deviation
s_r	Within laboratory repeatability as derived from ANOVA
s_s	Between laboratory standard deviation as derived from ANOVA
SS	Sum of squares in ANOVA
SST	Total variation in ANOVA
SSB	Between group (laboratory) variance
SSW	Within group (laboratory) variance
2s	Two times standard deviation
SI	Standard International system of units
t_{calc}	Calculated t statistic from a one-sample, two-tailed t-test
t-critical or t_{crit}	t-critical value at given alpha and degrees of freedom
Tonne	A metric ton, is a unit of mass equaling 1000 kilograms
=TINV(5%, df)	MS Excel function for t-critical value at LOC 95% and df
U	Expanded uncertainty at a given k
u	Standard uncertainty at $k=1$
u_c	Combined standard uncertainty at $k=1$
μm	Micron, is an SI derived unit of length equaling 1×10^{-6} of a meter

6. Uncertified Concentration Values

Appendix 1 gives uncertified concentrations for other elements present in the CRM.

7. Units

All results for major oxides are reported as oxides in percentages. All results for major elements analyses reported in percentages or ppm. Results for Au and the platinum group elements are reported in g/t or ppm. Specific gravity (SG) is the ratio of the density of a substance to the density of a reference substance, *i.e.* equivalently; it is the ratio of the mass of a substance to the mass of a reference substance for the same given volume. Since specific gravity is a ratio of densities its units are therefore dimensionless.

8. Analytical and Physical Methods

A complete list of analytical and physical methods as generic method codes with a brief description of the methods is available on the AMIS web site www.amis.co.za

9. Origin of Material

The Hamersley iron resources, contained in banded iron formations of Precambrian age, cover extensive areas of the Pilbara region. Haematite is the principal iron mineral. The Hamersley Province of western Australia contains numerous economic deposits of iron ore where Proterozoic banded iron formations (BIF) composed predominantly of magnetite and chert layers has been converted to oxidised iron minerals. Many of these bedded orebodies consist of martite and goethite formed from the Marra Mamba and Brockman Iron formations.

10. Approximate Mineral and Chemical Composition

Iron ore deposits of the Hamersley Province are mostly hosted within banded iron formation (BIF) sequences of the Brockman and Marra Mamba Iron Formations of the Hamersley Group and consist of two types: martite-microplaty hematite containing between 60 and 68 wt. % Fe, and martite-goethite containing between 56 and 63 wt. % Fe.

11. Quantitative Analysis by X-Ray Diffraction

Both natural and synthetic materials have a specific chemistry and atomic arrangement, known as phases. Phases can be identified and quantified using X-ray diffraction (XRD) which produces a plot of the intensity of X-rays scattered at different angles by crystalline phases in a material. Essentially, an X-ray diffraction pattern is the sum of the diffraction patterns produced by each phase. Simply put, an X-ray diffraction pattern is a fingerprint that allows the identification of what is in a target sample material. Knowledge of the mineral phase composition is useful in method development with techniques such as ICP-OES and XRF as potential matrix effects and spectral interferences can be recognised and accounted for. X-ray diffraction is effective in that it allows the identification of different phases of compounds that are identical in chemistry, but have a distinctly different the atoms, e.g. quartz, cristobalite, and glass are all different phases of SiO₂. Where quantitative XRD results do not correspond to results of other analytical techniques, it should be borne in mind that even though the data are quantitative they are meant to be used for indicative purposes in development of other analytical methods. Mineral names may not reflect the actual compositions of minerals identified, but rather the mineral group.

11.1 Sample preparation for X-Ray Diffraction

The material submitted was prepared for XRD analysis using a backloading preparation method. The relative phase amounts (weight %) were estimated using the Rietveld method.

11.2 XRD Results

The obtained diffractogram is shown in Figure 2 gives the identified and quantified mineral species using Rietveld Refinement. Table 5 presents the % mineral composition of AMIS0371.




Figure 2. Diffractogram for AMIS0371 showing presence of mineral phases.

Table 5. Mineral species identified and quantified.

Sample ID	%Goethite	%Hematite	% Kaolinite	% Quartz	% Muscovite
AMIS0371	14.18	80.74	0.83	4.26	Not detected

12. Health and Safety

The material is a very fine powder coloured strong brown (5YR 4/6). Safety precautions for handling fine particulate matter are recommended, such as the use of safety glasses, breathing protection, gloves and a laboratory coat.

13. Method of Preparation

The particle size distribution for this material was shown to have a nominal top size of 54µm (95% passing 54µm). The procedure of preparation in brief is as follows: the material was crushed, dry-milled and air-classified to <54µm. It was then blended in a bi-conical mixer, systematically divided and sealed into 1kg Laboratory Packs. Explorer Packs are then subdivided from the Laboratory Packs as required. Final packaged units were then selected on a random basis and submitted for analysis to an independent laboratory accredited with the ISO17025:2005 standard of general requirements for the

competence of testing and calibration laboratories. The results obtained from this laboratory are then evaluated statistically by AMIS for homogeneity.

14. Handling

The material is packaged in Laboratory Packs and Explorer Packs that must be shaken or otherwise agitated before use. The analyte concentrations are quoted on a dry basis; therefore, the user needs to determine the moisture content in order to convert any obtained assay values to an air-dry basis (see Appendix 5 for an example calculation).

15. Storage information

The material should be stored in a cool dry place, in such a way that it does not compromise the integrity of the CRM. The material should be stored in conditions which will ensure it does not absorb moisture.

16. Methods of Analysis Requested

16.1 Initial Certification

- Multi element scan to include Fe. Fusion ICP.
- Multi-acid digest, including HF, ICP- OES or ICP-MS. Multi element scan.
- Iron Ore package. XRF fusion.
- S, IR.
- LOI (TGA) – 105°C, 1000°C.
- SG, gas pycnometer.

16.2 Certification for additional elements

- Fe- Titration
- LOI at 371°C, 405°C, 650°C and 850°C
- Major oxides by XRF (it must have BaO, if not, please do not analyse)

17. Information Requested of Participating Laboratories

The following information was requested of the participating laboratories for the development of this CRM:

17.1 Initial Certification

- State and provide brief description of analytical techniques used.
- State aliquots used for all determinations.
- Results for individual analyses to be reported.
- Report all QC data, to include replicates, blanks and certified reference materials used.

17.2 Certification of additional elements

- State aliquots used for all determinations.
- Fe titration ppm
- Oxides to be reported in %
- Report all QC data, to include replicates, blanks and certified reference materials used.
- All Round robin samples must be treated the same as routine test samples.
- All results must be reported to maximum decimal places i.e. dependent on laboratories capabilities.

- Please ensure moisture content is determined and calculated. All results should be corrected by the moisture correction factor and this factor should be stated in the laboratory results.
- Please use the excel template provided by AMIS. If you require a copy, please email any of the email addresses below and ensure all uncertainties are added to the results.
- Please send PDF of all results.
- Ensure correct PPE is used i.e. gloves, dust masks and protective clothing.
- Analysis should be done under controlled environmental conditions.

18. Certification of Mean and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty

The samples used in this certification process have been selected in such a way as to represent the entire batch of material and were taken from the final packaged units; therefore, all possible sources of uncertainty are included in the combined standard uncertainty determination. Initially the data submitted by all of the laboratories are subjected to a z-score test, equation [1] to exclude outliers and the remaining data sets examined for their normality in distribution. This is followed by the exclusion of further outliers as defined by the IUPAC Harmonised Protocol of 1995 in which both Cochran and Grubbs tests are applied until all outliers are identified; equations [2] and [3]. A grand mean and standard deviation is re-calculated using all remaining data (Thompson, 2008; Carr, 2011) (see Appendix 2). These data are then subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) as per equations, [9],[10],[11],[12] and [13] in Appendix 2. The mean squares for data within and between laboratories derived from ANOVA are used to compute the within-laboratory reproducibility, or combined standard uncertainty as shown in Appendix 2, equation [14], [15] and [16]. The Horwitz function is applied to assess the performance of the data under consideration with respect to precision as relative standard deviation (equations [4], [5] and [6]). Should the ratio of the observed %RSD and a calculated %RSD be ≤ 2 , the observed %RSD is accepted (Horwitz & Albert, 2006). A final certified value is then found by calculating a grand mean of equally weighted individual laboratory means [7] (ISO Guide 35, 2003; Barwick & Pritchard, 2011).

An uncertainty statement on AMIS certificates is typically presented as:

The expanded uncertainty (U) is determined by multiplication of the combined uncertainty (u_c) with a coverage factor (k) found from $N-1$ degrees of freedom and a t-critical value at a level of confidence of 95% (EURACHEM / CITAC Guide CG 4., (2012), (see Table 12, Appendix 7 for a t-critical table). N is the number of laboratory means used in the establishment of the certified value. Since the estimated values of the CRM approximate a normal distribution with combined uncertainty, u_c , the certified value of the CRM is understood to lie in the interval defined by U with a level of confidence of 95 % (Thompson & Lowthian, 2011).

Appendix 2 gives detail on the principles used for certification of the reported assay values and estimation of measurement uncertainty.

19. Participating Laboratories

Twenty-four laboratories were each given eight randomly selected packages of the CRM. Twenty-one laboratories of the twenty-four laboratories submitted results in time for certification. For certification of additional elements, five laboratories were each given eight randomly selected packages of the CRM and four of the laboratories submitted results in time for certification.

The laboratories that provided results timeously are:

1. ACME Analytical Laboratories Ltd CA
2. Activation Laboratories Pty Ltd (ActLabs) CA
3. ALS Ammtec (Australia)
4. ALS Chemex Laboratory Group Brisbane Australia
5. ALS Chemex Laboratory Group Johannesburg SA
6. ALS Chemex Laboratory Group Perth WA
7. ALS Chemex Laboratory Group Vancouver CA
8. ALS OMAC (Ireland)
9. Anglo American Technical Solutions
10. BV Amdel (Australia)
11. Genalysis Laboratory Services (South Africa) Pty
12. Genalysis Laboratory Services (W Australia P)
13. Intertek Utama Services (Indonesia)
14. Scrooby's Lab
15. Set Point Laboratories (Isando) SA
16. SGS Australia Pty Ltd (Newburn) WA
17. SGS Geosol Laboratories Ltda (Brazil)
18. SGS Mineral Services Callao (Peru)
19. SGS Mineral Services Lakefield (Canada)
20. SGS South Africa
21. SGS Townsville (Australia)
22. SGS Vancouver (Canada)
23. Shiva Analyticals India
24. Ultra Trace (Pty) Ltd WA

20. Accepted Assay Data

Data from the 24 laboratories used for certification are set out in Table 6.

Table 6. Data used to calculate the certified values after removal of outliers.

LOI	LOI	Fe	Fe	Fe	SG	SG	Al	As	Ce	Mn	Si	SiO ₂
LOI	LOI	XRF	XRF	Titration	SG	SG	FUS	FUS	FUS	FUS	FUS	FUS
%	4.22	%	%	%	Dimensionless	Dimensionless	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%
4.28	4.30	548650	548700	547300	3.90	4.07	16800	118.00	15.20	1100	6.20	13.00
4.26	4.21	548280	550700	547600	3.84	4.15	16600	118.00	15.20	1100	6.20	13.20
4.27	4.19	548350	550300	552600	3.83	4.10	16600	119.00	15.00	1100	6.20	13.40
4.32	4.18	545450	550900	550100	3.82	4.07	16700	113.00	15.00	1100	6.40	13.00
4.36	4.30	548840	548600	554300	3.84	4.13	16700	114.00	15.50	1100	6.40	13.20
4.30	4.26	547950	551000	549400	3.84	4.10	16600	121.00	15.30	1100	6.20	13.20
4.28	4.33	547920	552000	556400	3.85	4.28	16700	115.00	15.00	1100	6.20	13.20
4.33	4.25	548270	551000	558700	3.85	4.26	16200	113.00	15.70	1100	5.90	13.20
4.20	4.27	549900	551000	552000	4.27	4.25	16000	113.00	15.30	1220	6.00	12.93
4.16	4.32	549400	551000	551000	4.23	4.22	16000	99.00	13.00	1200	6.00	12.79
4.12	4.34	548700	552000	553000	4.26	4.26	16400	98.00	14.40	1200	6.00	12.75
4.13	4.07	549100	551000	552000	4.30	4.22	16800	110.00	14.30	1230	6.10	12.89
4.14	4.11	548900	550000	552000	4.31	4.18	16000	121.00	15.60	1210	6.10	13.10
4.13	4.07	548800		552000	4.22	4.20	16000	120.00	15.80	1200	6.00	13.15
4.18	4.09	549500		553000	4.28	4.07	15600	113.00	14.30	1210	6.00	13.00
4.17	4.09	549300		553000	4.23	4.05	16000	96.00	12.10	1210	6.10	13.40
4.02	4.07	549300		544000	4.05	4.05	15300	80.00	15.00	1050	6.27	13.60
4.25	4.06	547300		543000	4.02	4.06	15000	120.00	15.00	1090	6.23	13.70
4.29	4.08	551300		540000	4.09	4.05	15100	110.00	20.00	1070	6.25	13.60
4.15	4.19	551700		545000	4.03	4.07	15400	130.00	15.00	1110	6.36	13.30
4.13	4.19	550900		543000	4.01	4.05	14900	110.00	20.00	1190	6.36	13.20
4.23	4.29	546700		541000	4.03	4.06	15300	130.00	20.00	1140	6.38	13.40
4.20	4.18	550200		541000	4.10	3.97	15200	110.00	20.00	1130	6.36	13.40
4.27	4.26	549000			4.03	3.97	15100	120.00		1120	6.35	
4.08	4.28	545800			3.72	3.94	16300	95.00		1130	6.20	
4.27	4.24	549500			3.61	3.95	16900	80.00		1100	6.00	
4.35	4.21	548500			3.64	4.00	16100	85.00		1080	5.90	
4.14	4.18	545900			3.64	3.90	17100	85.00		1150	6.30	
4.13	4.20	547100			3.65	3.97	18100	75.00		1100	6.10	
4.20	4.16	548400			3.64	3.99	17300	85.00		1140	6.20	
4.14	4.18	544900			3.99	4.01	17300	90.00		1140	6.20	
4.20	4.17	546700			4.00	4.01	16700	80.00		1040		
4.00	4.20	547400			4.00	4.01	17000	96.00		1347		
4.10	4.19	546200			4.00	4.04	16600	96.00		1253		
4.10	4.16	547400			4.00	4.04	16700	95.00		1292		
4.00	4.07	547400			4.00	4.01	17000	99.00		1316		
4.00	4.04	550600			4.00	4.04	17000	93.00		1314		
4.10	4.05	547000			3.99	4.02	17200	101.00		1273		
4.10	4.04	548300			4.22	3.79	17000	96.00		1314		
4.10	4.02	546400			4.25	3.87	16800	93.00		1276		
4.12	4.06	548400			4.24	3.76	17300					
4.17	4.04	551200			4.24	3.84	16700					
4.20	4.03	545800			4.24	3.89	15900					
4.18	4.12	546600			4.22	3.81	15900					
4.28	4.12	549000			4.25	3.77	16600					
4.12	4.12	549400			4.24	3.83	18200					
4.20	4.07	548700			4.22	4.21	17400					
4.19	4.08	545800			4.17	4.25	15800					
4.10	4.11	547100			4.18	4.25	18300					
4.10	4.10	548000			4.18	4.21	18600					
4.10	4.12	547400			4.21	4.23	18400					
4.07	3.81	545500			4.20	4.21	18500					
4.10	3.78	547400			4.19	4.24	18900					
4.09	3.75	547900			4.18	4.19	18500					
4.08	3.81	546700			3.77	4.08						
4.16	3.79	543500			3.80	4.07						
4.29	3.81	553400			3.76	4.09						
4.30	3.84	550500			3.70	4.11						
4.25	3.88	550000			3.71	4.08						
4.15	4.10	546700			3.72	4.10						
4.24	4.30	547400			3.76	4.07						
4.21	4.30	549200			3.77	4.09						
4.33	4.10	547400			3.71	3.87						
4.35	4.20	549600			3.75	3.87						
4.23	4.10	549400			3.72	3.91						
4.29	4.20	549900			3.69	3.88						
4.26	4.10	550100			3.63	3.88						
4.25		549300			4.14	3.87						
					4.09	3.88						
						3.91						

Assay Data (Cont.)

Ti	Al ₂ O ₃	Al ₂ O ₃	As	Fe ₂ O ₃	K ₂ O	K ₂ O	Mn	Mn	Mn	MnO	MnO	P	P	P	P
FUS	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	4A MICP	4A MICP	XRF	XRF	XRF	4A MICP	4A MICP	XRF	XRF
%	%	%	ppm	%	%	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
0.14	3.11	3.16	87	78.40	0.04	0.04	1100	1058	1180	0.15	0.15	400	390	460	440
0.14	3.13	3.17	93	78.50	0.04	0.04	1150	1063	1180	0.15	0.15	400	410	450	440
0.14	3.12	3.17	85	78.30	0.04	0.04	1150	1080	1170	0.15	0.14	450	420	450	430
0.14	3.11	3.18	85	78.50	0.03	0.04	1150	1070	1180	0.15	0.14	400	410	460	440
0.14	3.15	3.16	96	78.70	0.04	0.04	1150	1060	1170	0.15	0.15	450	410	450	430
0.14	3.11	3.19	90	78.60	0.03	0.04	1200	1070	1180	0.15	0.15	450	410	460	430
0.14	3.10	3.20	93	78.50	0.04	0.04	1150	1080	1170	0.15	0.15	450	420	450	440
0.14	3.15	3.16	90	78.50	0.03	0.04	1200	1050	1180	0.15	0.15	400	410	450	440
0.12	3.18	3.17	120	78.22	0.04	0.04	1004	1080	1100	0.14	0.14	361	420	440	430
0.12	3.19	3.14	120	78.40	0.04	0.04	1010	1070	1100	0.14	0.14	369	420	440	450
0.12	3.13	3.12	120	78.28	0.04	0.04	1009	1100	1100	0.14	0.14	366	410	440	440
0.12	3.13	3.14	110	78.74	0.04	0.04	998	1120	1090	0.14	0.15	355	360	420	
0.12	3.16	3.14	120	78.28	0.04	0.04	991	1080	1110	0.14	0.15	360	360	440	
0.12	3.16	3.12	120	78.10	0.04	0.04	1005	1100	1110	0.14	0.15	359	350	430	
0.12	3.14	3.16	110	78.18	0.04	0.04	1013	1080	1110	0.14	0.15	356	320	430	
0.12	3.21	3.14	110	78.28	0.04	0.04	1024	1100	1120	0.14	0.15	373	340	440	
0.13	3.22	3.11	100	78.73	0.04	0.04	998	1130	1140	0.14	0.15	390	330	410	
0.13	3.19	3.18	90	78.73	0.04	0.04	994	1120	1160	0.14	0.15	380	350	440	
0.13	3.20	3.11	120	78.33	0.04	0.04	1010	908	1180	0.14	0.15	380	350	430	
0.13	3.22	3.17	120	78.00	0.04	0.04	1020	914	1140	0.14		370	405	450	
0.13	3.18	3.15	110	77.95	0.04	0.04	982	919	1140	0.14		380	360	410	
0.13	3.22	3.15	110	78.37	0.04	0.04	993	930	1130	0.14		380	350	430	
0.12	3.19	3.13	120	78.47	0.04	0.04	1010	927	1140	0.14		380	390	450	
0.13	3.13	3.17	110	77.95	0.04	0.04	976	914	1140	0.14		380	380	410	
0.12	3.15	3.15	120	78.59	0.04	0.04	1223	923	1130	0.14		380	350	430	
0.12	3.19	3.10	120	78.94	0.04	0.04	1203	917	1120	0.14		387	350	430	
0.12	3.16	3.11	120	78.66	0.04	0.04	1198	1140	1130	0.15		386	375	430	
0.12	3.13	3.11	120	79.18	0.04	0.04	1198	1140	1140	0.15		374	387	430	
0.13	3.17	3.13	120	79.53	0.04	0.04	1219	1130	1120	0.14		363	435	440	
0.13	3.16	3.11	120	79.08	0.04	0.04	1201	1140	1130	0.14		362	397	430	
0.13	3.17	3.13	120	79.16	0.04	0.04	1206	1120	1140	0.15		377	373	420	
0.13	3.16	3.08	120	78.59	0.04	0.04	1190	1130	1130	0.15		364	401	430	
0.13	3.13	3.10	110	78.43	0.04	0.04	1060	1140	1130	0.14		320	340	430	
0.13	3.13	3.10	100	78.29	0.04	0.04	1070	1120	1130	0.14		330	367	420	
0.13	3.16	3.13	100	78.4	0.04	0.04	1070	1170	1130	0.14		330	393	410	
0.13	3.16	3.13	100	78.32	0.04	0.04	1070	1200	1120	0.14		320		420	
0.13	3.15	3.14	100	78.88	0.04	0.04	1100	1190	1130	0.14		310		420	
0.13	3.18	3.16	100	78.53	0.04	0.04	1100	1220	1160	0.14		330		410	
0.13	3.17	3.16	90	78.4	0.04	0.04	1070	1230	1130	0.14		330		420	
0.13	3.09	3.16	100	78.23	0.04	0.04	1080	1170	1100	0.14		320		420	
0.13	3.10	3.12	100	78.9	0.04	0.04	1240	1170	1150	0.14		342		440	
0.13	3.13	3.14	100	79	0.04	0.04	1180	1190	1140	0.14		330		430	
0.12	3.13	3.17	100	78.9	0.04	0.04	1240	1118	1140	0.14		332		430	
0.13	3.13	3.18	100	78.9	0.04	0.04	1230	1134	1160	0.14		341		440	
0.13	3.14	3.18	100	79.2	0.04	0.04	1230	1101	1160	0.14		321		440	
0.13	3.08	3.18	100	78.7	0.04	0.04	1240	1147	1140	0.14		329		440	
0.13	3.12	3.13	100	78.9	0.04	0.04	1190	1136	1140	0.14		348		440	
0.12	2.99	3.18	100	79.1	0.04	0.04	1230	1163	1150	0.14		336		430	
	3.02	3.18	100	78.1	0.04	0.04	983	1122	1100	0.14		400		420	
	3.04	3.17	100	78.2	0.04	0.04	982	1138	1100	0.15		400		420	
	3.02	3.18	100	78.1	0.04	0.04	967		1100	0.14		400		420	
	3.09	3.15	100	77.6	0.04	0.04	939		1100	0.14		400		420	
	3.09	3.19	100	77.8	0.04	0.04	997		1100	0.14		400		410	
	3.05	3.16	100	78.1	0.04	0.04	926		1100	0.15		400		420	
	3.02	3.19	100	78.1	0.04	0.04	953		1100	0.14		400		420	
	3.16	3.17	100	79	0.04	0.04	977		1100	0.14		400		420	
	3.23	3.18		79	0.05	0.04	1100			0.14		397		430	
	3.17	3.15		79	0.05	0.04	1100			0.14		379		430	
	3.19	3.16		80	0.04	0.04	1100			0.14		382		430	
	3.21	3.14			0.04	0.04	1100			0.15		384		420	
	3.23	3.14			0.04		1100			0.14		380		420	
	3.26	3.16			0.04		1100			0.15		384		430	
	3.22	3.18			0.04		1100			0.14		386		420	
	3.16	3.16			0.04		1100			0.15		383		420	
	3.16	3.14			0.04		1128			0.15		390		430	
	3.18				0.04		1091			0.15		400		420	
	3.14				0.04		1059			0.15		420		430	
	3.17				0.04		1067			0.15		400		440	
	3.17				0.04		1040			0.14		410		440	
	3.13				0.04		1066								

Assay Data (Cont.)

P ₂ O ₅	S	SiO ₂	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	TiO ₂	V	V ₂ O ₅
XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF	XRF
%	%	%	%	%	%	ppm	%
0.10	0.06	13.33	13.30	0.20	0.19	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.30	13.30	0.21	0.21	62	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.31	13.40	0.20	0.22	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.33	13.50	0.21	0.22	61	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.37	13.30	0.21	0.22	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.30	13.40	0.21	0.22	61	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.30	13.40	0.20	0.21	62	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.32	13.60	0.21	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.48	13.60	0.21	0.21	50	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.48	13.40	0.21	0.21	50	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.47	13.40	0.21	0.20	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.47	13.50	0.20	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.55	13.40	0.21	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.50	13.30	0.20	0.20	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.51	13.30	0.20	0.21	50	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.43	13.20	0.20	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.07	13.40	13.50	0.21	0.21	70	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.45	13.30	0.21	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.50	13.20	0.21	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.55	13.30	0.21	0.20	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.40	13.20	0.21	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.07	13.50	13.35	0.21	0.20	60	0.01
0.09	0.07	13.40	13.20	0.21	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.40	13.25	0.21	0.20	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.15	13.25	0.21	0.21	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.23	13.35	0.21	0.20	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.26	13.20	0.21	0.20	60	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.28	13.28	0.22	0.20	50	0.01
0.10	0.07	13.10	13.25	0.21	0.20	50	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.20	13.20	0.21	0.20	40	0.01
0.09	0.06	13.27	13.20	0.21	0.20	50	0.01
0.09	0.06	13.16	13.22	0.22	0.20	60	0.01
0.09	0.06	13.40	13.25	0.21	0.20	40	0.01
0.09	0.06	13.40	13.26	0.21	0.20	40	0.01
0.09	0.06	13.50	13.23	0.20	0.21	50	0.01
0.09	0.06	13.40	13.45	0.21	0.21	40	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.40	13.35	0.21	0.21	40	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.40	13.45	0.21	0.20	40	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.50	13.35	0.21	0.20	60	0.01
0.09	0.06	13.40	13.60	0.21	0.21	50	0.01
0.10	0.06	13.49	13.50	0.20	0.21		0.01
0.10	0.06	13.33	13.55	0.20	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.46	13.40	0.20	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.52	13.40	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.10	0.06	13.54	13.55	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.10	0.06	13.49	13.45	0.20	0.21		0.01
0.10	0.06	13.46	13.40	0.20	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.47	13.35	0.20	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.18	13.50	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.10	0.06	13.00	13.50	0.22	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.12	13.55	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.07	13.34	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.05	13.37	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.22	13.41	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.07	13.38	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.21	13.36	0.21	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.46	13.38	0.22	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.47	13.37	0.22	0.21		0.01
0.09	0.06	13.44	13.34	0.23	0.21		
0.09	0.06	13.44	13.40	0.22	0.21		
	0.06	13.52	13.40	0.23	0.21		
		13.57	13.50	0.22	0.21		
		13.61	13.40	0.23	0.21		
		13.48	13.50	0.22	0.21		
		13.40	13.50	0.19	0.21		
		13.40	13.50	0.19			
		13.40	13.5	0.19			
		13.30		0.19			
		13.30		0.19			

21. Reported Values

The certified values listed in this certificate fulfil the AMIS statistical criteria (see section 18) regarding agreement for certification and have been independently validated by Allan Fraser.

22. Validation of Accuracy (Trueness)

This CRM can be used to validate accuracy (trueness) as required in method validation as stated in the ISO17025:2005 standard, clause 5.4. See Appendix 3 for an example on the validation of accuracy using replicate data derived from the analysis of a CRM.

23. Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation in Gravimetric Fire Assay

In the determination of limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) in gravimetric fire assay (*i.e.* lead collection and weighing of resulting gold prill), the minimum mass that an assay microbalance is capable of weighing and the original test sample mass determines the LOD and the LOQ in the assay (Fraser, 2015), (see Appendix 6 for an example of the calculation LOD and LOQ and Table 11 for a recommend reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ values).

24. Metrological Traceability

The values quoted herein are based on the consensus values derived from statistical analysis of the data from an inter-laboratory measurement program. Traceability to SI units is via the standards used by the individual laboratories the majority of which are accredited to the ISO17025:2005 general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories and who have maintained measurement traceability during the analytical process.

25. Period of Validity

The certified values are valid for this product, while still sealed in its original packaging, until notification to the contrary. The stability of the material will be subject to continuous testing for the duration of the inventory. Should product stability become an issue, all customers will be notified and notification to that effect will be placed on the www.amis.co.za website.

26. Minimum Sample Size

The majority of laboratories reporting used a 0.5g sample size for the ICP-OES and a 30g sample size for the fire assay. These are the recommended minimum sample sizes for the use of this material.

27. Availability

This product is available in Laboratory Packs containing 1kg of material and Explorer Packs containing custom weights (from 50 to 250g) of material. The Laboratory Packs are sealed bottles delivered in sealed foil pouches. The Explorer Packs contain material in standard geochem envelopes, nitrogen flushed and vacuum sealed in foil pouches.

28. Recommended use in Quality Control

Users should set their own limits *i.e.* 1, 2 and 3 standard deviations from an obtained mean value based on at least 10 replicate analyses using this CRM (see Appendix 4 for detail on the use of this CRM in quality control).

29. Legal Notice

This certificate and the reference material described in it have been prepared with due care and attention. However, AMIS, a division of Torre Analytical Services (Pty) Ltd, Thivhafuni Matodzi, and Allan Fraser; accept no liability for any decisions or actions taken following the use of the reference material.

Revision: 001

Date of revision: 05 February 2018

Reason for new report: Recertification of all elements and methods, format updated

Revision: 002

Date of revision: 27 January 2020


Reason for new report: Amendment of COA title

Certifying Officers:

T. Matodzi

African Mineral Standards: _____

Thivhafuni Matodzi (Quality Specialist)

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'T. Matodzi', written over a horizontal line.

Geochemist: _____

Allan Fraser

M.Sc. (Geology), N.D. (Analytical Chem.), Pr.Sci.Nat.

REFERENCES

- Abzalov, M. (2011). Sampling Errors and Control of Assay Data Quality in Exploration and Mining Geology, Applications and Experiences of Quality Control. Ivanov, O., (Ed.), InTech, DOI: 10.5772/14965. Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/applications-and-experiences-of-quality-control/sampling-errors-and-control-of-assay-data-quality-in-exploration-and-mining-geology>. Accessed 25th September, 2016.
- ASTM E122-09e1, Standard Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2011, www.astm.org
- Barwick V.J., Pritchard E. (Eds.). (2011). Eurachem Guide; Terminology in Analytical Measurement – Introduction to VIM 3 (2011). ISBN 978-0-948926-29-7.
- Carr R.H. (2011). Estimating errors using graphs and taking good data. California State University Los Angeles. March 2011. 14. <http://web.calstatela.edu/faculty/kaniol/Err-Gph-Meas-IBooklet.pdf>. Retrieved: 29 August, 2016.
- Cochran, W.G. (1950). The Comparison of Percentages in Matched Samples. *Biometrika*, 37, 256-66.
- Ellison, S., Barwick, V., Duguid Farrant, T. (2009). *Practical statistics for the analytical scientist, a bench guide. 2nd Edition*. RSC Publishing. 25-172.
- ERM Application Note 1. (2005). Comparison of a measurement value with a certified value. European Commission – Joint Research Centre Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM). 1-2.
- EURACHEM / CITAC Guide CG 4. (2012). Quantifying uncertainty in analytical measurement. 3rd Edition. Editors: Ellison, S. L. R., Williams, A. 4-121.
- Eurolab Technical Report No.1/2007. (2007). Measurement uncertainty revisited: Alternative approaches to uncertainty evaluation. European Federation of National Associations of Measurement, Testing and Laboratories. 43-46.
- Fraser, A.W. (2014). The application of ANOVA and Bonferroni *post-hoc* t-tests in the comparison of analytical data from four laboratories on a chromite concentrate. Mintek Analytical Conference 2015.
- Fraser, A.W. (2015). Minimising uncertainty in measurement and improving limit of detection in gold bearing ores from concentrations predicted by linear regression in atomic absorption spectrometry. M.Sc. thesis (unpublished), University of Johannesburg: 199 pages.
- Grubbs, F.E. (1969). Procedures for detecting outlying observations in samples. *Technometrics*, 11, 1969. 1-21.
- Horwitz, W., Albert, R. (2006). The Horwitz Ratio (HorRat): A useful index of method performance with respect to precision, *Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists International*, 89: 1095-1109.
- ISO/IEC 17025:2005(E).(2005). *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. 2nd Edition: 14-59.
- ISO Guide 35 (2003). Certification of reference materials — General and Statistical principles, 3rd edition. ISO/REMCO WG 1.
- ISO 5725-2:1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results -- Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method.
- Long, J., Winefordner, J. (1983). Limit of detection - a closer look at the IUPAC definition. *Analytical Chemistry* 55: 712A - 724A.
- Miller, J., Miller, J. (2010). *Statistics for analytical chemistry*. 6th Edition. New York: Ellis Horwood.36-126.
- Nelsen T.C., Wehling P. (2008). Collaborative studies for quantitative chemical analytical methods. AACC International Report. *Cereal Foods World*. September – October 2008, Vol. 53, No. 5. 285-288.
- Seaman, M.A., Levin, J.R., & Serlin, R.C. (1991). New developments in pairwise multiple comparisons: Some powerful and practicable procedures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 110, 577-586.
- Skoog, D., West, D. (1982). *Fundamentals of analytical chemistry*. 4th Edition. CBS College Publishing. Holt Saunders International Editions:39-73.
- Thompson, M. (Ed.) (2008). Test for 'sufficient homogeneity' in a reference material. *Analytical Methods Committee, AMCTB 17A*, ISSN 1757-5958.

Thompson, M. (Ed.) (2010). Internal quality control in routine analysis. AMC Technical Brief. Analytical Methods Committee. AMCTB No.46. 2010. Issn 1757-5958.
 Thompson, M., Lowthian, P. (2011). *Notes on statistics and data quality for analytical chemists*. Imperial College Press: 15-115.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Uncertified Element Statistics

Uncertified element statistics are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Uncertified element concentrations statistics.

Element	GenericMethod	n	Mean	SD	RSD %	Unit
Al ₂ O ₃	CALC	8	3.16	0.04	1.14	%
Al ₂ O ₃	FUS	16	3.11	0.09	2.75	%
B	FUS	6	20.00	0.00	0.00	ppm
Ba	FUS	31	26.35	10.53	39.96	ppm
Ba	XRF	14	34.86	22.65	64.97	ppm
Be	FUS	15	1.80	0.41	23.00	ppm
Bi	FUS	15	0.59	0.07	12.67	ppm
Ca	4A_MICP	109	192.51	46.21	24.00	ppm
Ca	FUS	31	1904.19	1783.04	93.64	ppm
CaO	FUS	16	0.23	0.20	88.57	%
CaO	XRF	125	0.02	0.01	25.59	%
Cd	4A_MICP	60	2.20	4.33	196.62	ppm
Cl	XRF	48	146.88	46.73	31.82	ppm
Co	4A_MICP	107	9.74	3.41	35.01	ppm
Co	FUS	25	13.48	4.28	31.77	ppm
Co	XRF	31	15.77	5.56	35.25	ppm
Cr	FUS	52	122.81	32.68	26.61	ppm
Cr	XRF	8	115.13	2.10	1.82	ppm
Cr ₂ O ₃	XRF	102	0.02	0.01	56.21	%
Cs	FUS	8	0.16	0.02	10.88	ppm
Cu	FUS	23	18.48	7.25	39.22	ppm
Cu	XRF	33	19.48	5.94	30.47	ppm
Dy	FUS	15	1.29	0.12	9.68	ppm
Er	FUS	14	0.91	0.05	5.85	ppm
Eu	FUS	16	0.26	0.04	14.84	ppm
Fe ₂ O ₃	FUS	16	77.42	0.76	0.98	%
Ga	FUS	16	5.81	1.28	21.96	ppm
Gd	FUS	15	1.07	0.08	7.65	ppm
Ge	4A_MICP	52	1.75	1.23	70.07	ppm
Ge	FUS	8	6.13	0.64	10.46	ppm
Hf	FUS	16	1.49	0.21	14.35	ppm
Ho	FUS	13	0.30	0.00	0.00	ppm
K	4A_MICP	132	348.96	93.22	26.71	ppm
K	FUS	32	1246.88	814.78	65.35	ppm
K ₂ O	FUS	7	0.04	0.00	0.00	%
La	FUS	22	6.98	1.94	27.84	ppm
LOI	LOI371	32	3.16	0.34	10.64	%
LOI	LOI405	31	3.07	0.41	13.52	%
LOI	LOI650	32	4.20	0.47	11.17	%
LOI	LOI850	24	4.72	0.58	12.30	%
Lu	FUS	15	0.17	0.02	10.38	ppm
Mg	4A_MICP	109	334.97	121.46	36.26	ppm
Mg	FUS	44	500.00	71.53	14.31	ppm
MgO	CALC	7	0.06	0.00	4.35	%
MgO	FUS	15	0.04	0.00	11.26	%
MgO	XRF	124	0.07	0.01	19.88	%
MnO	CALC	8	0.16	0.00	0.96	%
MnO	FUS	15	0.14	0.01	5.19	%
Moisture	MOIST	61	1.05	0.20	19.09	%
Na	4A_MICP	101	131.21	73.78	56.23	ppm
Na ₂ O	FUS	8	0.02	0.00	0.00	%
Na ₂ O	XRF	70	0.02	0.01	38.20	%
Nb	FUS	8	3.00	0.53	17.82	ppm
Nd	FUS	16	4.50	0.46	10.33	ppm
Ni	FUS	17	26.71	22.28	83.44	ppm
Ni	XRF	26	32.54	44.47	136.68	ppm
P	FUS	13	400.00	0.00	0.00	ppm
P ₂ O ₅	FUS	8	0.12	0.01	9.12	%
Pb	FUS	23	43.48	9.16	21.08	ppm
Pb	XRF	44	61.14	28.19	46.11	ppm
Pr	FUS	15	1.24	0.10	7.96	ppm
Rb	FUS	9	2.21	0.33	15.08	ppm
S	Combustion/LECO	100	0.06	0.01	16.58	%
S	FUS	30	0.05	0.02	41.84	%
Sb	FUS	15	4.69	0.43	9.13	ppm
Sc	FUS	13	5.15	0.38	7.29	ppm
Si	4A_MICP	8	6.34	0.13	1.99	%
Sm	FUS	15	1.09	0.06	5.89	ppm
Sn	4A_MICP	88	0.88	0.36	41.35	ppm
Sn	FUS	6	1.83	0.41	22.27	ppm
Sn	XRF	24	136.29	104.96	77.01	ppm
Sr	FUS	7	4.00	0.00	0.00	ppm
Sr	XRF	18	29.89	18.21	60.93	ppm
Ta	FUS	15	0.36	0.05	14.09	ppm
Tb	FUS	16	0.20	0.01	3.08	ppm
Te	4A_MICP	59	0.14	0.12	90.79	ppm
Th	FUS	15	6.61	0.40	5.99	ppm
Ti	4A_MICP	94	0.10	0.02	15.09	%
TiO ₂	FUS	15	0.20	0.01	3.84	%
Tm	FUS	15	0.14	0.01	8.27	ppm
U	FUS	14	26.09	1.05	4.01	ppm
V	4A_MICP	112	47.16	12.08	25.61	ppm
V	FUS	32	71.41	12.32	17.25	ppm
W	4A_MICP	109	17.40	2.30	13.24	ppm
W	FUS	16	18.63	3.93	21.10	ppm
Y	FUS	24	6.83	2.32	33.90	ppm
Yb	FUS	16	0.99	0.10	9.70	ppm
Zn	4A_MICP	110	23.06	6.91	29.96	ppm
Zn	FUS	22	33.82	9.26	27.39	ppm
Zn	XRF	40	19.18	8.58	44.75	ppm
Zr	FUS	15	59.73	5.70	9.54	ppm
Zr	XRF	40	58.90	12.22	20.74	ppm

Appendix 2. Certification of Reference Material and Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty (Prepared by Allan Fraser)

In the establishment of a consensus value for the CRM, outlier tests are carried out followed by performance statistics and the estimation of the measurement uncertainty. In practice, it is highly likely that data generated by multiple laboratories as an inter-laboratory comparison of material for certification, will contain erroneous as well as extreme measurements (outliers). The influence of outliers on summary statistics needs to be minimised by the application of procedures for outlier identification on raw data. The application of z-scoring, Cochran test for suspect repeatability variances, along with Grubbs test as single and paired tests for suspect measurement values allows for the detection of outliers (IUPAC, 1995). Method performance in terms of precision as relative standard deviation is judged by the application of the Horwitz ratio, which gives an indication of whether the observed relative standard deviation at the concentration levels of analyte determined are acceptable (Horwitz & Albert, 2006).

In the absence of an extensive uncertainty budget, measurement uncertainty is estimated from the reproducibility standard deviation from inter-laboratory data and reported as an expanded uncertainty at typically a level of confidence of 95% (Miller & Miller, 2010).

The steps below give detail on the establishment of a consensus value through the elimination of outliers, method performance and estimation of measurement uncertainty using standard uncertainties and the analysis of variance.

Outlier Removal

An initial purge of outliers in inter-laboratory analyses of a candidate reference material is done using z-scoring followed by the exclusion of further outliers as defined by the IUPAC Harmonised Protocol of 1995. Here both Cochran and Grubbs tests are applied until all outliers are identified.

Z-Score

A z-score is calculated using equation [1]:

$$z = \frac{x - x_a}{s_p} \quad [1]$$

Where, x is the result of a submitted sample, x_a is the mean and s_p is the standard deviation of the submitted results from all of the participating laboratories. Z-Scores are interpreted as follows:

$|z| \leq 2$ satisfactory performance
 $2 < |z| \leq 3$ questionable performance
 $|z| > 3$ unsatisfactory performance

(Thompson & Lowthian, 2011)

Data with z-scores exceeding 2 are discarded and are not included for further assessment.

Cochran Test

The test of Cochran (1950) as shown in equation [2] is applied to any suspect repeatability variances:

$$C_{calc} = \frac{s_{max}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^l s_i^2} \quad [2]$$

Where, C_{calc} , s_{max}^2 and $\sum_{i=1}^l s_i^2$, are the calculated values for Cochran's test, data set with the maximum variance and the sum of the variances of all of the participating l laboratory datasets. The C_{calc} value is

compared with a critical value, C_{crit} at a level of confidence of 95% and an alpha of 0.05% (see Ellison, *et al.*, 2009, Appendix A, Table A.3a, page 209 for a table of critical values for the test of Cochran at LOC 95%).

According to ISO 5725-2 (1999), results from a laboratory with a suspect repeatability variance can be excluded if it is shown by the Cochran test to be an outlier. Therefore, if $C_{calc} > C_{crit}$, the laboratory with the maximum variance is removed. The data found to be excluded should not be >2/9, or 22% of the total data.

Grubbs Test

The test of Grubbs (1969) calculates a test statistic, G. In the detection of a single outlier, G_1 is found by using

$$G_{1\text{ calc}} = \frac{|\text{Suspect value} - \bar{x}|}{s} \quad [3]$$

where the sample mean and standard deviation, \bar{x} and s , are calculated with the suspect value included. The $G_{1\text{ calc}}$ statistic is compared to a critical value for N measurements. See Ellison, *et al.*, 2009, Appendix A, Table A.2, page 208 for a table of critical values for the test of Cochran at LOC 95%.

Method Performance

The Horwitz function is used to assess the performance of the data under consideration, with respect to precision (Horwitz & Albert, 2006). A calculated %RSD is found using the Horwitz expression

$$\%RSD = \pm 2^{(1-0.5\log C)} \quad [4]$$

where, C is the analyte concentration in percent divided by 100 and \log is the natural logarithm. The observed %RSD is calculated as

$$\text{Observed \%RSD} = \frac{s}{\text{Mean}} \times 100 \quad [5]$$

where s is the standard deviation of n replicates.

The ratio of the observed %RSD and the calculated %RSD gives the Horwitz ratio (HorRat):

$$\text{HorRat} = \frac{\%RSD \text{ Observed}}{\%RSD \text{ Calculated}} \quad [6]$$

A HorRat ≤ 2 indicates that the method is of adequate precision. Should the HorRat be >2 the overall data are discarded and the candidate material considered not suitable for certification as the precision is excessive for the concentration of the analyte being determined (Nelsen & Wehling, 2008).

Grand Mean

The grand mean ($\bar{\bar{x}}$) *i.e.* the certified value of a dataset is the total of all the data values divided by the total sample size (n):

$$\bar{\bar{x}} = \sum \frac{x}{n} \quad [7]$$

Certified Value

From ANOVA as per the description in section 18, an ‘appropriate precision’ as shown in [8] is calculated for sufficient homogeneity (Thompson, 2008):

$$s_r \leq 0.3u_c \quad [8]$$

Where, s_r is the within laboratory repeatability, as determined from [14]. Once [8] is satisfied, a grand mean [7] is calculated and this is taken to be the certified value.

Total Variation (SST)

The total variation (not the variance) comprises the sum of the squares of the differences of each mean with the grand mean.

$$SST = \sum (x - \bar{x})^2 \quad [9]$$

Between Group Variation (SSB)

The *variation* due to the interaction between the laboratories is denoted SSB or Sum of Squares Between laboratories and given by [10]. If the laboratory means are close to each other (and therefore the Grand Mean) SSB will be a small value. There are P samples involved with one datum value for each sample (the sample mean), so there are P-1 degrees of freedom.

$$SSB = \sum n(\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{x}})^2 \quad [10]$$

The *variance* due to the interaction between the laboratories is denoted MSB for Mean Square Between groups and is the SSB divided by its degrees of freedom.

$$MS = \frac{SSB}{n - 1} \quad [11]$$

Within Group Variation (SSW)

The variation due to differences within individual samples is denoted SSW for Sum of Squares Within laboratories. The degrees of freedom are equal to the sum of the individual degrees of freedom for each sample. Since each sample has degrees of freedom (*df*) equal to one less than their sample sizes, and there are k samples, the total degrees of freedom is P less than the total sample size: $df = n - P$.

$$SSW = \sum df \cdot s^2 \quad [12]$$

The variance due to the differences within individual samples is denoted MSW for Mean Square Within groups. This is the within group variation divided by its degrees of freedom:

$$MSW = \frac{SSW}{P - n} \quad [13]$$

From equations [9] through [13], the ANOVA table as shown in Table 8 is developed.

Table 8. A single-factor ANOVA table showing key elements. Where P is the total number of groups, or laboratories. P-1 is 1 less than number of laboratories, P (n-1) is the number of data values minus number of groups (equals degrees of freedom for each group added together), and P-1 + P(n-1) is 1 less than number of data points. MS is the mean squares of between laboratories and within laboratories. After Ellison *et al.*, (2009), Table 6.2, page 61.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Sum of Squares	F	p	F _{crit}
Between Laboratories	SSB	P-1	MSB=SSB/df	MSB/MSW	=FDIST(x,df,df)	F-table
Within Laboratories	SSW	P(n-1)	MSW=SSW/df	–	–	–
Total	SSB+SSW	P-1 + P(n-1)	–	–	–	–

Combined Standard Uncertainty

The combined standard uncertainty (u_c) represents the effects of random events such as days, instruments, and analysts on the precision of the analytical procedures of all accepted data of the participating laboratories. Using the output from ANOVA, the combined standard uncertainty (u_c) is determined from the square root of the sum of squares of the variances of the within laboratory repeatability, s_r , and the between laboratory precision, s_s :

$$u_c = \sqrt{s_r^2 + s_s^2} \quad [14]$$

Within laboratory repeatability is determined as

$$s_r = \sqrt{MSB} \quad [15]$$

and, the between laboratory precision as

$$s_s = \sqrt{\frac{(MSW - MSB)}{n}} \quad [16]$$

where MSW is the mean squares of the within laboratory variance, MSB is the mean squares for the between laboratories and n in this case, is the number of replicates in a group of the accepted data (Thompson & Lowthian, 2011).

Expanded Uncertainty

The expanded uncertainty (U) at a confidence level of 95% is determined by multiplication of the combined uncertainty (u_c) by a coverage factor (k) found from $N-1$ degrees of freedom (df), where N is the number of laboratory means accepted in the establishment of the certified value. The t-critical value for 5% significance can be found in a t-critical table (see Appendix 7, or from MS Excel as =TINV (5%, df)).

Uncertainty Statement

Typically, an uncertainty statement is presented as follows: Au =0.77±0.04 g/t, where the number following the symbol ± is the numerical value of an expanded uncertainty, $U = ku_c$, with U determined from a combined standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor $k = 2$ or, a t-critical value for $N-1$ accepted laboratories. Since it can be assumed that the possible estimated values of the standard are approximately normally distributed with standard uncertainty, u_c , the certified value of the CRM is believed to lie in the interval defined by U with a level of confidence of approximately 95 %, e.g. a mean value of 0.77±0.04g/t will have intervals of: 0.73<0.77<0.81 g/t.

Appendix 3. Example: Comparison of Mean and Certified Value for Validation of Accuracy
(Prepared by Allan Fraser)

According to ERM (2005); Eurolab (2007); Abzalov (2011) and Carr (2011), the validation of accuracy for a given mean and certified value requires the inclusion of the measurement uncertainty of the CRM in a t-test for statistical significance. The classical Student's t-test as shown in [17], does not take into account the measurement uncertainty of the CRM. To compensate for this, Eurolab Technical Report No.1/2007 recommends equation [18] for the validation of CRMs with stated measurement uncertainties.

$$t_{calc} = \frac{|\bar{x} - \mu|}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \quad [17]$$

$$t_{calc} = \frac{|\bar{x} - \mu|}{\sqrt{(u_{\mu})^2 + \frac{s^2}{n}}} \quad [18]$$

Where, t_{calc} is the calculated t-statistic, \bar{x} the mean of n replicates with a standard deviation of s for a CRM of μ certified value. The standard uncertainty u is the stated expanded uncertainty (U) of the CRM divided by the coverage factor (k) as stated on the certificate of analysis. Note that the $| \quad |$ bars indicate that the absolute value between the mean and the certified value is to be used, *i.e.* ignore the sign.

An example in which [18] is used for validation of accuracy is given below.

Example

A CRM is independently replicated nine times for Al_2O_3 concentration by XRF analysis, *i.e.* 9 individual fused glass beads were prepared. The observed mean and standard deviation of the replicate data are shown with the certified value and expanded uncertainty in Table 9. In validation of accuracy, the hypothesis question is: Is the difference between the observed mean and the certified value statistically significant at a level of confidence of 95%? Alternatively put, is there sufficient evidence to conclude that the data *i.e.* replicates generated, are inaccurate?

The relevant hypotheses are:

Null hypothesis: H_0 : Mean = Certified value of CRM with stated measurement uncertainty. The acceptance of H_0 means that accuracy is demonstrated; *i.e.* insufficient evidence to reject H_0 ;

Alternate hypothesis: H_1 : Mean \neq Certified value of CRM with stated measurement uncertainty. The acceptance of H_1 means that accuracy is not demonstrated, *i.e.* there is sufficient evidence to accept H_1 ;

Table 9. CRM certified value, quoted expanded uncertainty U , the coverage factor for the CRM, $k=2.25$ and mean for $n=9$ replicates and corresponding standard deviation for the replicate data.

CRM Certified Value	Expanded Uncertainty (U)	Coverage Factor (k)	Mean ($n=9$)	n	Standard Deviation (s)
4.62%	0.08%	2.25	4.59	9	0.01015

The standard uncertainty (u) is found by dividing the expanded uncertainty by the coverage factor:

$$u = \frac{0.08}{2.25} = 0.0356 \%$$

Using the observed mean for the replicate data ($n=9$) obtained for the CRM and substituting into [18]:

$$t_{calc} = \frac{|\bar{x} - \mu|}{\sqrt{0.0356^2 + \frac{0.01015^2}{9}}} = \frac{|4.59 - 4.62|}{\sqrt{0.00126 + 0.00001145}} = 0.84$$

Therefore, $t_{calc} = 0.84$ and $t_{crit}(5\%, 8) = 2.31$ (df is 8, therefore, $t_{crit}=2.31$, see Appendix 7, page 31) which is >0.84 . Similarly, the p -value= 0.43 which is >0.05 . This is strong evidence in favour of accepting the null hypothesis that there is no significant statistical difference between the certified value and the observed mean. Therefore, under the conditions that the uncertainty associated with the certified value is known the accuracy is validated for the CRM tested. If the null hypothesis is accepted that the mean obtained is not statistically different from the certified value, then the principle of traceability has been conformed to.

.....

Appendix 4. Using the CRM in Quality Control
(Prepared by Allan Fraser)

QC chart control limits should not be determined by the certified value and stated measurement uncertainty of the certified reference material used. These parameters although “certified” will never be known; it is only the corresponding statistical estimates, *i.e.* standard deviation and the mean calculated from replicated results that are known and these should be used in quality control charts. However, should the laboratory choose to use the certified value as the mean then the quoted 2s value for the CRM can be used in the quality control chart.

It is recommended that a Shewhart chart be developed for the use if this CRM is to be used as a control sample in laboratory quality control. A Shewhart chart is a plot of sequential assay results obtained from quality control material such as an AMIS CRM. The warning and control limits are based on the standard deviation obtained from the mean of the replicates of a CRM (Ellison, *et al.*, 2009; Thompson, 2010). The procedure in preparing a Shewhart chart is as follows:

1. Analyse 10 to 15 replicates or more of the AMIS CRM;
2. Apply the Grubbs test for outliers;
3. Determine the mean of the replicates after application of the Grubbs test;
4. Determine the standard deviation, using equation [19], of the replicates;
5. Calculate the standard deviation, s from:

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}} \tag{19}$$

where, x_i is an individual measurement in the data set, \bar{x} is the mean of the data set at $n-1$ degrees of freedom (df) and n is the number of replicates. The sample standard deviation can be found using the MS Excel formula “=stdev.s (number1;)”.

6. Verify accuracy of the mean value using equation [18];
7. Once accuracy is verified, calculate $\pm 2s$ and $\pm 3s$, where s is the standard deviation calculated from [19].
8. Construct the Shewhart control chart around the mean of n replicates;
9. Use $\pm 2s$ as the warning limits;
10. Use $\pm 3s$ as the control limits;

11. It is recommended that if 2 to 3 points are outside warning the limits analyse another sample and if it then within warning limits, continue. If it is outside the warning limits, stop and troubleshoot;
12. It is recommended that if any point is outside control limits, analyse another portion (sample) of the CRM. If it is within control limits, continue. If it is outside control limits, stop and troubleshoot;
13. For reference purposes, the CRM certified value can be plotted on the Shewhart chart alongside the mean value.

On a regular basis the accuracy of the replicates of the CRM should be assessed in terms of the certified value of the CRM using equation [18].

.....

Appendix 5. Conversion to Air-dry Basis
(Prepared by Allan Fraser)

Since AMIS certified analyte values are reported on a dry-basis, the user laboratory is required to dry a portion (accurately weigh out 1.0 grams in duplicate) of the CRM material in air at 105°C in a drying oven to constant mass to determine the moisture content. Use a crucible with a flat inner surface with a surface area not smaller than 10 cm² with the CRM material spread evenly over same; this represents a 0.1 gram spread per cm². In correcting the certified value for moisture content, a moisture correction factor is calculated:

$$\text{Moisture correction factor (MCF)} = \frac{100 - \% \text{Moisture at } 105^{\circ}C}{100} \quad [20]$$

$$\text{Air dry basis concentration} = \text{MCF} \times \text{certified value on a dry basis} \quad [21]$$

Example

The moisture content determined at 105°C on a CRM is 0.500%. The certified analyte concentration for the CRM is 12.62±0.52% (dry basis). Calculating the moisture correction factor using [21] gives:

$$\text{Moisture correction factor} = \frac{100 - 0.500}{100} = 0.995$$

Multiplying the factor of 0.995 by the certified value as stated on the certificate of analysis on a dry basis (as in [21]) gives the analyte concentration on an air-dry basis:

$$0.995 \times 12.62\% = 12.56\%$$

The stated measurement uncertainty also needs to be corrected using [20] and [21], e.g. 0.995 x 0.52 = 0.51₍₇₎, rounded to 0.52%. The air-dry basis concentration i.e. 12.56±0.52% is to be used as the certified value with its corresponding measurement of uncertainty.

Appendix 6. Example of Determination of LOD and LOQ in Fire Assay
Prepared by Allan Fraser

The limit of detection (LOD) is the minimum detectable quantity of the analyte of interest (Skoog & West, 1985). In order to determine the LOD in fire assay by lead collection, the minimum mass that an assay microbalance is capable of weighing (*m* in micrograms, and the original test sample mass, *Mass_{assay}* in grams) determines the LOD. The smallest prill mass most assay microbalances can measure is 1µg or 0.001mg. Even with a microscope it may be difficult to locate and pick up a prill weighing ten times that amount (i.e. 0.01mg or 10µg) and weigh it. Assuming that an analyst can weigh a prill of 1µg then the LOD becomes 1µg. However, the concentration factor would be 50 times for a 50-gram assay sample

and therefore the LOD in g/t becomes 1µg divided by the original mass of the sample in grams taken for fire assay [22]. Therefore, the LOD in fire assay is computed as:

$$LOD = \frac{m (\mu g)}{Mass_{assay} (g)} (g/t) \quad [22]$$

And, the limit of quantitation (LOQ), is simply the LOD multiplied by 10 (Long & Winefordner, 1983):

$$LOQ = 10 \cdot \frac{m (\mu g)}{Mass_{assay} (g)} (g/t) \quad [23]$$

Therefore, with a sample mass of 50g taken for fire assay, the limit of detection would be 0.02g/t. *i.e.* 1µg = 1g/t, therefore 1µg/50g = 0.02g/t. If no prill was found to be weighed then the LOD result would be <0.02 g/t or “not detected”. Table 11 gives a recommended reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ.

Table 10. Mass of assay sample and corresponding limit of detection and limit of quantitation for an assay microbalance capability of smallest prill mass of 1µg or 0.001mg.

Mass Assay Sample (g)	LOD (g/t)	LOQ (g/t)
30	0.03	0.3
50	0.02	0.2
100	0.01	0.1

Table 11. Recommended reporting scheme for LOD and LOQ in fire assay.

Data	Report as
<LOD	Not detected
<LOQ	Detected
≥LOQ	Report assay result

.....

Appendix 7. T-distribution table

Table 12. T-distribution table for t-critical values (t crit.) for a two-tailed t-test at a 95% level of confidence.

<i>df</i>	Two-tailed	<i>df</i>	Two-tailed
1	12.71	23	2.06
2	4.30	24	2.06
3	3.18	25	2.06
4	2.78	26	2.05
5	2.57	27	2.05
6	2.44	28	2.04
7	2.36	29	2.04
8	2.30	30	2.04
9	2.26	35	2.03
10	2.22	40	2.02
11	2.20	45	2.01
12	2.17	50	2.00
13	2.16	55	2.00
14	2.14	60	2.00
15	2.13	70	1.99
16	2.12	80	1.98
17	2.11	90	1.98
18	2.10	100	1.98
19	2.09	120	1.98
20	2.08	Infinity	1.96
21	2.08		
22	2.07		

.....